Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke



Among American Indians and Alaska Natives 2005



Suggested Citation

Casper ML, Denny CH, Coolidge JN, Williams GI Jr, Crowell A, Galloway JM, Cobb N. *Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives*. Atlanta, GA: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention and Indian Health Service; 2005.

Cover Photos

Cover photographs are copyrighted and were provided by the following sources: Jim West and The Image Works (photographers Bob Daemmrich, Eastcott-Momatiuk, James Fossett, Willie Hill, Jack Kurtz, and David Lassman).

For Free Copies

E-mail: ccdinfo@cdc.gov

Write: National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention

and Health Promotion

Atlas Project

Division of Adult and Community Health

4770 Buford Highway NE

MS K-47

Atlanta, GA 30341-3717

Call: 1-888-232-2306 (toll free inside the United States)

Online

To view interactive maps of heart disease and stroke mortality or download sections of this atlas, visit http://www.cdc.gov/cvh/maps.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank the following people for their valuable contributions to the publication of this atlas: Janet Croft, George Mensah, and Darwin Labarthe for their continued support and enthusiasm; Elizabeth Barnett, Joel Halverson, and Valerie Braham for their initial vision and expertise in developing the first three atlases of heart disease and stroke: Mark Conner for his wonderful creativity and talent in developing the cover design; Mark Harrison for desktop publishing; Andrea Saddlemeir for her SAS expertise and her graciousness in assisting with analyses at a critical time; Kan Zheng for his valued assistance with data entry and quality control; and the following reviewers for their helpful comments on earlier drafts: Kurt J. Greenlund and H. Wayne Giles, National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion (NCCDPHP), CDC, and Phil Smith, Indian Health Service.

In addition, the authors would like to acknowledge the exceptional work performed by CDC staff in the National Center for Health Statistics in maintaining the National Vital Statistics System, as well as that of CDC staff in NCCDPHP in maintaining the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System. Without the rigorous and systematic surveillance work performed by these staff members in close collaboration with state departments of health, producing this *Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives* would not be possible.

Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives

Michele L. Casper

Clark H. Denny

Jonathan N. Coolidge

G. Ishmael Williams, Jr.

Amanda Crowell

James M. Galloway

Nathaniel Cobb

U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION

INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE

A Message from the Director of CDC

As the nation's prevention agency, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) is committed to reducing the burden of heart disease and stroke, which are the first and third leading causes of death and major contributors to disability in the United States. These two cardiovascular diseases are largely preventable, and targeted public health efforts can help reduce their impact. To meet this challenge, CDC works to monitor temporal and geographic trends in heart disease and stroke rates among different racial and ethnic groups, to strengthen the delivery of primary and secondary preventive health services to all such groups, and to implement policy changes that support the alleviation of disparities among all U.S. residents.

Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, heart disease and stroke are the first and sixth leading causes of death. I am pleased to provide you with the *Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives*, which presents the mortality rates and distribution of common risk factors for these diseases for this population in geographic units that allow communities to see where they stand. This information is essential to helping health professionals and policy makers at local, state, and national levels identify populations at greatest risk for cardiovascular disease and in greatest need of prevention efforts. This atlas provides county-level maps of heart disease and stroke mortality, as well as state maps of the geographic patterns of common risk factors. The magnitude of the burden of these risk factors also is compared for American Indians and Alaska Natives, Asians and Pacific Islanders, blacks, Hispanics, and whites. The comprehensive information provided in this atlas will allow health officials to tailor their prevention efforts to specific communities as needed.

This publication is the fourth in a series of CDC atlases related to cardiovascular disease. However, it is the first to focus on geographic patterns of heart disease and stroke mortality and risk factors for a specific racial/ethnic group in the United States. I encourage you to use these data to improve the delivery of preventive health services and to create heart-healthy and stroke-free living and working environments for all American Indians and Alaska Natives.

Julie Louis Gerberding, MD, MPH

Director

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

A Message from the Director of IHS

The Indian Health Service (IHS), an agency of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), is the principal federal health care provider and advocate for the health of American Indians and Alaska Natives. Employing a community-based system of care, the IHS is the primary source of personal and public health care services for the majority of the nation's estimated 2.4 million American Indians and Alaska Natives. The IHS is the only source of care for the many American Indian and Alaska Native people who live on or near a reservation in remote and poverty-stricken areas of the country where other sources of health care are less available.

Heart disease has become the leading cause of death among American Indians and Alaska Natives, and stroke is the sixth leading cause of death. The incidence of coronary heart disease among American Indians and Alaska Natives occurs at rates almost double that of non-Indian communities. In addition to the higher rates of cardiovascular disease compared with the general U.S. population, the burden of premature cardiovascular disease among the American Indian and Alaska Native population also appears greater than for other racial and ethnic populations in the United States.

The Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives provides insights into the geographic disparities in heart disease and stroke experienced by American Indians and Alaska Natives. Health information contained in publications such as this will support efforts at the community level—developed by the community and focused on the individual and the community as a whole—in conjunction with the support and collaborative efforts of public health institutions, federal and state agencies, universities, and service organizations, to eliminate cardiovascular disease among American Indians and Alaska Natives.

The Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives provides information to assist in the successful implementation of efforts to reach the two overarching goals of Healthy People 2010, which are "... to increase the quality and years of healthy life and to eliminate health disparities," and to support the successful implementation of HHS's Steps to a HealthierUS Initiative. This publication is an important and significant step toward these goals.

Charles W. Grim, DDS, MHSA Assistant Surgeon General

Charles W. Grim, DOS

Director, Indian Health Service

Foreword

I am pleased to present the *Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives*. The maps in this atlas highlight the great diversity—in culture, language, history, and the burden of heart disease and stroke—that exists among American Indian and Alaska Native populations of the United States.

This landmark document supports the elimination of health disparities, one of the two overarching goals of *Healthy People 2010*, and addresses the important need to reduce the risk for heart disease and stroke among American Indians and Alaska Natives. The maps in this atlas present county-by-county heart disease and stroke mortality rates, as well as state-specific prevelances of eight major risk factors for heart disease and stroke. Public health professionals at local, state, and national levels will be able to use this information to tailor prevention resources to the populations of American Indians and Alaska Natives who need additional services the most.

Mortality trends for heart disease and stroke indicate that the rate of decline among American Indians and Alaska Natives has been relatively slow since the early 1970s. This observation is in stark contrast to the large declines in heart disease and stroke mortality reported for the total U.S. population during the same period. These alarming trends underscore the importance of enhancing our efforts to support innovative, community-based strategies for reducing the risk for heart disease and stroke among American Indians and Alaska Natives. We can expect to achieve the greatest cardiovascular health benefits through prevention. The *Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives* indicates where prevention programs and policies are most needed and can have the greatest benefit.

We hope that you will find this publication to be a valuable resource as you design programs and policies to prevent heart disease and stroke in your communities.

Darwin R. Labarthe, MD, MPH, PhD

Acting Branch Chief, Cardiovascular Health Branch

National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention

Contents

A Message from the Director of CDC	iii
A Message from the Director of IHS	V
Foreword	vii
Section 1. Introduction	1
Section 2. National Maps of Heart Disease and Stroke Mortality Among American Indians and Alaska Natives	
Section 3. Risk Factors for Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, by State	17
Appendix A. Risk Factors for Heart Disease and Stroke Among the Total U.S. Population, by State	51
Appendix B. Methodological and Technical Notes	61
Appendix C. Resources	71
About the Authors	Inside Back Cover

Sestoff-Monatiuk/The Image Works

Introduction

During the last four decades, significant changes have occurred in the health of American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people. Although infectious diseases such as tuberculosis and gastroenteritis were rampant among Native Americans in the first half of the 20th century, they are no longer ranked in the leading causes of death and disability for this population. With many infectious diseases under control today, AI/AN people are living longer. Like many other Americans, they are now experiencing chronic diseases such as heart disease and stroke as dominant risks to their health and longevity.

Disease Burden

Heart disease and stroke are the first and sixth leading causes of death, respectively, among AI/AN people,² as well as major causes of disability. Mortality trends for heart disease indicate that the rate of decline among AI/AN people has been relatively slow since 1972, with virtually no decline from 1989 through 1997.² This trend is in stark contrast to the large declines in heart disease mortality reported for the total U.S. population since the early 1970s.^{2,3} Consequently, although heart disease death rates for AI/AN people were 21% lower than the total U.S. population in the early 1970s, they were 20% higher by the late 1990s.²

A similar trend exists for stroke mortality. From 1972 through 1985, stroke death rates for AI/AN people declined, but at a slower rate than that reported for the total U.S. population.² From 1985 through 1997, virtually no decline in stroke death rates was reported for the AI/AN population. By the end of the 1990s, stroke death rates were 14% higher for AI/AN people than for the total U.S. population.²

Recent studies of individual AI/AN tribes and communities highlight the heavy burden of heart disease among AI/AN people.^{4,5} In 1999, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute (NHLBI) funded the Strong Heart Study, which was conducted among 13 tribes. The study reported that the incidence of coronary heart disease among American Indians was nearly double that reported in the Atherosclerotic Risk in

Communities (ARIC) Study of atherosclerosis in four non-Indian communities.⁶ Other recent studies have reported that both the prevalence of heart disease and the percentages of premature deaths are higher among Native Americans than among any other racial or ethnic group in the United States.^{7,8}

Risk Factors

During the past several decades, marked increases in the prevalence of many risk factors for heart disease and stroke have been reported among AI/AN people.⁴ These increases place AI/AN populations at increased risk for subsequent rises in death rates from heart disease and stroke. In 2003, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) reported that the prevalence of self-reported obesity among AI/AN people was 23.9%, diabetes was 9.7%, cigarette smoking was 32.2%, and physical inactivity was 32.5%.⁹ All are risk factors for heart disease and stroke.

In addition, two recent studies that collected extensive data on heart disease and stroke risk factors among specific AI/AN communities found high prevalences of insulin resistance syndrome, renal injury, lower extremity arterial disease, hypertension, elevated cholesterol levels, and diabetes. These studies included the Inter-Tribal Heart Project conducted collaboratively by CDC, the Indian Health Service (IHS), and tribal leaders of the Menominee Reservation in Wisconsin and two Chippewa Reservations in Minnesota, 10-16 as well as the Strong Heart Study conducted among 13 tribes in Arizona, Oklahoma, North Dakota, and South Dakota. 17-20

Diabetes is a particularly important risk factor for heart disease and stroke among AI/AN people because diabetes prevalence in this population is increasing so rapidly. Before World War II, diabetes was uncommon in this population.²¹ Today, an estimated 9.7% of the AI/AN population has diabetes, compared with 5.7% of non-AI/AN populations in the United States.⁹ The diabetes death rate was 52.8/100,000 among AI/AN people during 1996–1998, compared with 13.5/100,000 for all U.S. racial and ethnic groups.² In a study of people hospitalized for stroke in

Arizona during 1990–1996, the prevalence of diabetes was nearly twice as high for AI/AN people (62%) as it was for Hispanics (36%) and more than three times as high as for whites (17%).²²

Data Limitations

There is a paucity of data on the burden of heart disease and stroke among AI/AN people in the United States. Data that are collected as part of national surveys are limited by very small sample sizes. For example, the series of National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys (NHANES I, II, and III), which collected information on medical histories, demographics, and behaviors related to health and nutrition for the civilian, noninstitutionalized population of the United States, did not report data for AI/AN populations because the sample sizes were too small. Data that are collected for individual tribes and communities do not necessarily represent the overall Native American population because of the large variations in the prevalence of risk factors, as well as the disparities in mortality observed among different tribes and communities across the United States. 9,23

Mortality data for AI/AN people are more readily available than survey data. CDC's National Center for Health Statistics maintains a database of death certificates for all U.S. citizens. However, AI/AN people are sometimes misreported as "white" on death certificates, especially in areas distant from traditional AI/AN reservations.² A 1996 study by the IHS found that the degree of misreporting varied from 1.2% in Arizona to 28% in Oklahoma and 30.4% in California.²⁴ Another report found that race was coded incorrectly on death certificates for 26.6% of AI/AN people nationwide.²⁵

To address the problem of misreporting of AI/AN race on death certificates, the death rates presented in the most recent edition of *Trends in Indian Health* have been adjusted to account for misreporting.² A recent study highlights how the misreporting of AI/AN race has led to underestimates of mortality rates for heart disease and stroke among AI/AN people when the data were not adjusted to account for this misreporting.²⁶ The results of this

study indicate that after adjustment for misreporting, the mortality rates for heart disease and stroke among AI/AN people (195.9 per 100,000) were substantially higher than those among whites (159.1) or those among the total U.S. population (166.1) and that the magnitude of these disparities is increasing over time. ²⁶ Unfortunately, because adjustment factors are not available at the county level, the maps of heart disease and stroke mortality rates in this atlas are based on data that have not been adjusted for misreporting of race among AI/AN decedents.

Looking Ahead

The data that are available for AI/AN people have increased awareness among members of the public health community, health care practitioners, and Native Americans of the significance and severity of heart disease and stroke among AI/AN populations. Effectively preventing heart disease and stroke in this population and reducing disparities in both the prevalence of these conditions and the quality of care available requires an innovative and multidimensional approach. Prevention strategies should be more intensive to address the growing risk factors, and they should be culturally appropriate, taking into account the wide variations among tribes and communities. These strategies should be developed in partnership with tribal and AI/AN communities with input from individuals, their families, and community organizations.

As part of CDC's Racial and Ethnic Approaches to Community Health (REACH) 2010 project, eight AI/AN communities are establishing community coalitions, identifying priority concerns, and implementing programs and policies designed to reduce people's risk for chronic diseases such as heart disease and stroke. During 2001–2002, the REACH 2010 Risk Factor Survey was conducted in 21 minority communities, including two AI communities. The study reported that American Indians had the highest prevalences of cardiovascular disease, obesity, current smoking, and diabetes.²⁷ These results underscore the need for enhanced national efforts to eliminate the heavy burden of cardiovascular disease and its risk factors among AI/AN populations.

Strong support from national public health agencies and institutions—such as that provided currently by IHS, CDC, and NHLBI—is also important. These agencies are part of the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services (HHS), which has established national health objectives for the next decade, including the overarching goals of increasing quality and years of healthy life and eliminating health disparities among racial and ethnic groups.²⁸ By highlighting the burden of heart disease and stroke among Native Americans, this *Atlas of Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives* can help achieve these goals.

Indian Health Service

The IHS is a subagency of HHS and is responsible for providing federal health services to AI/AN people.²⁹ This responsibility is based on the special relationship between the federal government and the 560 Native American tribes that it recognizes. This government-to-government relationship is based on Article 1, Section 8, of the U.S. Constitution and has been given form and substance by numerous treaties, laws, Supreme Court decisions, and executive orders.

The IHS is the federal health care provider and health advocate for AI/AN people. Services are provided directly and through health programs contracted to and operated by individual tribes. The federal system consists of 36 hospitals, 61 health centers, 49 health stations, and 5 residential treatment centers. Another 34 urban health projects provide a variety of health and referral services.

The agency strives to ensure that comprehensive, culturally acceptable personal and public health services are available and accessible to AI/AN people. Its mission is to work in partnership with AI/AN people to raise the physical, mental, social, and spiritual health of this population to the highest level possible.

In addition, the IHS is responsible for educating people who work in health delivery programs that AI/AN people are American citizens who are eligible for services from all

federal, state, and local health programs. In addition, the IHS is the principal federal health advocate for building health coalitions, networks, and partnerships with tribal nations, other government agencies, and nonfederal organizations (e.g., academic medical centers, private foundations) for the benefit of AI/AN people.

The delivery of IHS health services is managed by local administrative units called service units, which serve the same function as county or city health departments. Some service units are responsible for several small reservations, while some large reservations are served by several different service units.

Service units also are grouped into larger management jurisdictions on the basis of cultural, demographic, and geographic characteristics of different tribes. These larger jurisdictions are administered by the following 12 area offices: Aberdeen, Alaska, Albuquerque, Bemidji, Billings, California, Nashville, Navajo, Oklahoma, Phoenix, Portland, and Tucson.

Young TK. The Health of Native Americans—Towards a Biocultural Epidemiology. New York: Oxford Press; 1994.

Indian Health Service. Trends in Indian Health, 2000–2001 Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; February 2004.

CDC. Decline in deaths from heart disease and stroke—United States, 1900–1999. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 1999;48(30):649–56.

Galloway JM. The epidemiology of atherosclerosis and its risk factors among Native Americans. Current Diabetes Reports 2002;2(3):274

–81.

Sewell JL, Malasky BR, Gedney CL, Gerber TM, Brody EA, Pacheco EA, et al. The increasing incidence of coronary artery disease and cardiovascular risk factors among a Southwest Native American tribe: the White Mountain Apache Heart Study. *Archives of Internal Medicine* 2002;162(12):1368–72.

Howard BV, Lee ET, Cowan LD, Devereux RB, Galloway JM, Go OT, et al. Rising tide of cardiovascular disease in American Indians: the Strong Heart Study. *Circulation* 1999;99(18):2389–95.

CDC. Health status of American Indians compared with other racial/ ethnic minority populations—selected states, 2001–2002. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2003;52(47):1148–52.

- CDC. Disparities in premature deaths from heart disease—50 states and the District of Columbia, 2001. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2004;53(6):121–5.
- CDC. Surveillance for health behaviors of American Indians and Alaska Natives. Findings from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1997–2000. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2003;52(SS-7).
- Lamar Welch VL, Casper M, Greenlund K, Zheng ZJ, Giles W, Rith-Najarian S. Prevalence of lower extremity arterial disease defined by the ankle-brachial index among American Indians: the Inter-Tribal Heart Project. *Ethnicity and Disease* 2002;12(1):S1-63-7.
- Greenlund KJ, Valdez R, Casper ML, Rith-Najarian SJ, Croft JB. Prevalence and correlates of the insulin resistance syndrome among Native Americans. The Inter-Tribal Heart Project. *Diabetes Care* 1999;22(3):441–7.
- Fischer ID, Brown DR, Blanton CJ, Casper ML, Croft JB, Brownson RC. Physical activity patterns of Chippewa and Menominee Indians: the Inter-Tribal Heart Project. *American Journal of Preventive Medicine* 1999;17(3):189–97.
- Kasiske BL, Rith-Najarian SJ, Casper ML, Croft JB. American Indian heritage and risk factors for renal injury. *Kidney International* 1998;54(4):1305–10.
- CDC. The Inter-Tribal Heart Project: Results from the Cardiovascular Health Survey. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 1996.
- Casper ML, Rith-Najarian SJ, Croft JB, Giles W, Donehoo R, and the Inter-Tribal Heart Project Working Group. Blood pressure, diabetes and body mass index among Chippewa and Menominee Indians: the Inter-Tribal Heart Project preliminary data. *Public Health Reports* 1996;111(Suppl 2):37–9.
- Struthers R, Savik K, Hodge FS. American Indian women and cardiovascular disease: response behaviors to chest pain. *Journal* of Cardiovascular Nursing 2004;19(3):158–63.
- Howard BV, Lee ET, Yeh JL, Go O, Fabsitz RR, Devereux RB, et al. Hypertension in adult American Indians: the Strong Heart Study. Hypertension 1996;28(2):256–64.
- Welty TK, Lee ET, Yeh JL, Cowan LD, Fabsitz RR, Le NA, et al. Cardiovascular disease risk factors among American Indians: the Strong Heart Study. American Journal of Epidemiology 1995;142(3):269–87.

- Lee ET, Howard BV, Savage PJ, Cowan LD, Fabsitz RR, Oopik AJ, et al. Diabetes and impaired glucose tolerance in three American Indian populations aged 45–74 years: the Strong Heart Study. *Diabetes Care* 1995;18(5):599–610.
- Howard BV, Lee ET, Cowan LD, Fabsitz RR, Howard WJ, Oopik AJ, et al. Coronary heart disease prevalence and its relation to risk factors in American Indians: the Strong Heart Study. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 1995;142:254–68.
- Gohdes D. Diabetes in North American Indians and Alaska Natives.
 In: Diabetes in America. Bethesda, MD: National Institutes of Health,
 National Institute of Diabetes and Digestive Kidney Diseases; 1995.
 NIH publication no. 95-1468.
- Frey JL, Jahnke HK, Bulfinch EW. Differences in stroke between white, Hispanic, and Native American patients: the Barrow Neurological Institute stroke database. Stroke 1998;29(1):29–33.
- Indian Health Service. Regional Differences in Indian Health, 2000– 2001. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 2000
- Indian Health Service. Adjusting for Miscoding of Indian Race on State Death Certificates. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; 1996.
- 25. Sorlie PD, Rogot E, Johnson NJ. Validity of demographic characteristics on the death certificate. *Epidemiology* 1992;3(2):181–4.
- Rhoades DA. Racial misclassification and disparities in cardiovascular disease among American Indians and Alaska Natives. *Circulation* 2005;111(10):1250–6.
- CDC. REACH 2010 Surveillance for Health Status in Minority Communities—United States, 2001–2002. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2004;53(SS-6).
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *Healthy People 2010*.
 2nd edition. Two volumes. Washington, DC: U.S. Government Printing Office: 2000.
- 29. Indian Health Service Web site. Available at http://www.ihs.gov.

National Maps of Heart Disease and Stroke Mortality Among American Indians and Alaska Natives

Heart Disease Mortality: American Indians and Alaska Natives

American Indians and Alaska Natives

American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people made up 1.5% of the U.S. population ages 35 years and older in 2000. During 1996–2000, the age-adjusted heart disease death rate for AI/AN people in this age group was 352/100,000.

The national map of age-adjusted, spatially smoothed heart disease death rates for all AI/AN people shows considerable geographic disparity across the 806 counties for which sufficient data existed to calculate rates. County death rates ranged from 65 to 2,606/100,000. The quintile ranking for each county is depicted on the national map, with the darkest color representing counties with the highest rates and the lightest color representing counties with the lowest rates. The map indicates that the highest heart disease death rates were located primarily in South and North Dakota, Wisconsin, and Michigan. Smaller concentrations of counties in the top quintile also were observed along the North Carolina-South Carolina border and in Mississippi and Oklahoma. Counties with the lowest rates were located largely in California and Florida, with groupings of low-rate counties also found in parts of Illinois, Texas, the Northeast, and the Southwest.

Women and Men

During 1996–2000, the age-adjusted death rate for heart disease was 278/100,000 for AI/AN women and 445/100,000 for AI/AN men ages 35 and older. The maps of age-adjusted, spatially smoothed heart disease death rates for AI/AN women and men show considerable geographic disparity across the counties for which sufficient data existed to calculate rates. For women, county death rates ranged from 60 to 1,110/100,000. For men, the range was 108 to 2,374/100,000.

The maps for women and men indicate slightly different geographic patterns than the patterns for the total population. This difference can be largely attributed to the small number of counties with sufficient data to calculate rates for women and men separately. The patterns for women and men are similar, with groups of counties with high rates in Oregon, northern California, and Arizona.


A Note on Methods


Heart disease deaths were defined as those for which the underlying cause of death listed on the death certificate was diseases of the heart, defined according to the *International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9* codes 390–398, 402, and 404–429; *ICD-10* codes I00–I09, I11, I13, I20–I51). ^{1.2} Heart disease death rates were age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. population and spatially smoothed using a spatial moving average. A detailed explanation of the methods used to generate the death rates and create the maps can be found in Appendix B.


A Cautionary Note

Decedents of certain racial and ethnic minorities are sometimes misreported as "white" on death certificates; in particular, American Indians have been significantly underreported on death certificates.^{3–5} In a 1996 Indian Health Service study, misclassification of American Indians ranged from 1.2% in Arizona to 28% in Oklahoma and 30.4% in California.⁶ Consequently, the true heart disease death rates for AI/AN people were probably higher during 1996–2000 than indicated on the maps, and the magnitude of geographic disparity displayed on the maps may be biased.

- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification*. Washington, DC: Public Health Service, Health Care Financing Administration; 1980.
- World Health Organization. International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1992.
- Frost F, Shy K. Racial differences between linked birth and infant death records in Washington state. American Journal of Public Health 1980;70(9):974–6.
- Hahn RA, Mulinare J, Teutsch SM. Inconsistencies in coding of race and ethnicity between birth and death in US infants: a new look at infant mortality, 1983 through 1985. *JAMA* 1992;267(2):259–63.
- Kennedy RD, Deapen RE. Differences between Oklahoma Indian infant mortality and other races. *Public Health Reports* 1991;106(1):97–8.
- Indian Health Service. Adjusting for Miscoding of Indian Race on State Death Certificates. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Public Health Service; 1996.







Stroke Mortality: American Indians and Alaska Natives

American Indians and Alaska Natives

American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people made up 1.5% of the U.S. population ages 35 years and older in 2000. During 1991–1998, the age-adjusted stroke death rate for AI/AN people in this age group was 79/100,000.

The national map of age-adjusted, spatially smoothed stroke death rates for all AI/AN people shows considerable geographic disparity across the 303 counties for which sufficient data existed to calculate rates. County death rates ranged from 29 to 272/100,000. The quintile ranking for each county is depicted on the national map, with the darkest color representing counties with the highest rates and the lightest color representing counties with the lowest rates. The map suggests somewhat of a north-south gradient in stroke mortality among AI/AN people. Counties with high rates were reported primarily in the northern states of Alaska, Washington, Idaho, Montana, Wyoming, South Dakota, Wisconsin, and Minnesota. Counties with low rates were reported primarily in central Oklahoma and southern California. Exceptions to the north-south gradient were high rates in counties along the North Carolina-South Carolina border and along the southern tip of Louisiana.

Women and Men

During 1991–1998, the age-adjusted death rate for stroke was 77/100,000 for AI/AN women and 80/100,000 for AI/AN men ages 35 and older. The maps of age-adjusted, spatially smoothed stroke death rates for AI/AN women and men show considerable geographic disparity across the counties for which sufficient data existed to calculate rates. For women, county death rates ranged from 35 to 291/100,000. For men, the range was 33 to 291/100,000.

The maps for women and men indicate slightly different geographic patterns than the patterns for the total population. This difference can be largely attributed to the small number of counties with sufficient data to calculate rates for women and men separately. The patterns for women and men are

similar, with groups of counties with high rates in Oregon, northern California, and Arizona.


A Note on Methods


Stroke deaths were defined as those for which the underlying cause of death listed on the death certificate was cerebrovascular disease, defined according to the *International Classification of Diseases*, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification (codes 430–438). Stroke death rates were age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. population and spatially smoothed using a spatial moving average. A detailed explanation of the methods used to generate the death rates and create the maps can be found in Appendix B.


A Cautionary Note

Decedents of certain racial and ethnic minorities are sometimes misreported as "white" on death certificates; in particular, American Indians have been significantly underreported on death certificates. ²⁻⁴ In a 1996 Indian Health Service study, misclassification of American Indians ranged from 1.2% in Arizona to 28% in Oklahoma and 30.4% in California. ⁵ Consequently, the true stroke death rates for AI/AN people were probably higher during 1991–1998 than indicated on the maps, and the magnitude of geographic disparity displayed on the maps may be biased.

- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. *International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification*. Washington, DC: Public Health Service, Health Care Financing Administration; 1980.
- Frost F, Shy K. Racial differences between linked birth and infant death records in Washington State. American Journal of Public Health 1980;70(9):974–6.
- Hahn RA, Mulinare J, Teutsch SM. Inconsistencies in coding of race and ethnicity between birth and death in US infants: a new look at infant mortality, 1983 through 1985. *JAMA* 1992;267(2):259–63.
- Kennedy RD, Deapen RE. Differences between Oklahoma Indian infant mortality and other races. *Public Health Reports* 1991;106(1):97–8.
- Indian Health Service. Adjusting for Miscoding of Indian Race on State Death Certificates. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service; 1996.







nn Johnsor


Risk Factors for Heart Disease and Stroke Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, by State

High blood pressure (hypertension) is a major risk factor for heart disease and stroke. For every 20 mm Hg systolic or 10 mm Hg diastolic increase in blood pressure, there is a doubling of deaths from both ischemic heart disease and stroke, according to the Seventh Report of the Joint National Committee on Prevention, Detection, Evaluation, and Treatment of High Blood Pressure (Hypertension 2003;42:1206–52).

The JNC7 report also notes that only 34% of Americans with high blood pressure have it under control. Research shows that even a 5 mm Hg decrease in diastolic blood pressure can reduce heart disease risk by 21% (*Arch Intern Med* 2001;161:2657–60). A systolic blood pressure <120 mm Hg and a diastolic blood pressure <80 mm Hg is considered normal.

The IHS is working to better identify and reduce high blood pressure among American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people—for example, through electronic alerts to health care providers and audits of patients' charts. It also is administering

Figure 1.
Prevalence of
Self-Reported
High Blood
Pressure Among
Adults ≥18 Years
by Race/Ethnicity,
BRFSS, 2001 and
2003 Combined



numerous diabetes grants that include strategies to reduce high blood pressure and other cardiovascular risk factors.

CDC funds state programs to assess the prevalence of high blood pressure, increase compliance with treatment guidelines among managed care organizations, and prevent high blood pressure in the United States, with special programs tailored to minority groups and inner-city residents.

Definition of High Blood Pressure

We defined self-reported high blood pressure on the basis of the following Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) question: "Have you ever been told by a doctor, nurse, or other health care professional that you have high blood pressure?" This question was only asked in odd-numbered years, so the data for this analysis are from 2001 and 2003. Age-adjusted prevalences were calculated for adults ages ≥18 years.

Prevalence Variations

We found substantial state-to-state differences in the prevalence of high blood pressure among AI/AN people (see facing map and Table 1). A 1.8-fold difference existed between the midpoint of the lowest quartile (20%) and that of the highest quartile (35%).

The national prevalence among all AI/AN people was 28%. Prevalences were 26% for women and 29% for men. AI/AN people ranked second among U.S. racial/ethnic groups (see Figure 1).

A Cautionary Note

Prevalences are based on a sample of AI/AN people surveyed by telephone for the BRFSS. They are likely lower than the true prevalence of high blood pressure and are more representative of AI/AN people living in urban rather than rural areas or on reservations (see Appendix B for details).




Table 1. Prevalence of Self-Reported High Blood Pressure Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, by State,

	Total Population				Women			Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	
A	76	38.8	27.5–50.1	36	‡		40	‡	26.9-56.8	
A aska	1047	28.5	24.5-32.5	592	33.0	28.0-37.9	455	24.5	19.0-30.0	
Arizona	272	21.7	14.3–29.2	176	19.5	10.6–28.5	96	27.5	16.0-39.0	
Arkansas	107	35.1	26.8-43.4	62	29.4	22.2-36.7	45	‡		
Ca ifornia	86	23.0	14.0-31.9	58	18.0	8.9-27.0	28	‡		
Co orado	48	‡	15.1–34.9	32	‡		16	‡		
Connecticut	76	23.3	12.9-33.7	37	‡		39	‡		
De aware	63	29.2	17.0–41.3	34	‡		29	‡		
District of Co umbia	23	‡		9	‡		14	‡		
F orida	102	30.3	18.3-42.3	53	30.3	14.6–45.9	49	‡		
Georgia	102	29.3	20.6-38.0	55	37.4	27.1–47.6	47	‡		
Hawaii	43	‡		21	‡		22	‡		
Idaho	124	27.9	19.7–36.2	76	28.7	17.5–39.8	48	‡		
I inois	75	19.3	9.7–28.9	44	‡		31	‡		
Indiana	68	29.0	19.6–38.4	36	‡		32	‡		
lowa	26	‡		16	‡		10	‡		
Kansas	89	23.2	14.1–32.4	51	24.1	12.1–36.1	38	‡		
Kentucky	71	29.4	17.2–41.5	28	‡		43	‡		
Louisiana	92	30.3	20.5-40.2	60	31.4	19.3-43.6	32	‡		
Maine	63	28.8	17.0-40.7	36	‡		27	‡		
Mary and	74	32.1	19.6-44.5	39	‡		35	‡		
Massachusetts	95	29.8	20.1–39.6	60	25.8	19.4–32.3	35	‡		
Michigan	56	29.4	17.7–41.2	31	‡		25	‡		
Minnesota	53	30.4	17.5-43.2	30	‡		23	‡		
Mississippi	45	‡		28	‡		17	‡		
Missouri	88	34.3	21.2–47.5	45	‡		43	‡		
Montana	744	32.2	27.1–37.3	449	33.5	27.6-39.3	295	31.7	24.3-39.1	
Nebraska	46	‡		27	‡		19	‡		
Nevada	84	22.9	16.1–29.7	40	‡		44	‡		
New Hampshire	73	19.2	9.7–28.7	37	‡		36	‡		
New Jersey	95	28.8	16.4–41.1	54	27.6	15.1–40.2	41	‡		
New Mexico	356	19.9	14.5–25.4	201	19.8	12.2–27.4	155	19.6	11.3–27.8	

Note: To compare these preva ances with those for the tota U.S. popu ation, see Appendix A.

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001 and 2003 Combined*

	Total Population				Women			Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	
New York	73	29.6	16.3–42.8	47	‡		26	‡		
North Caro ina	298	29.8	22.5-37.0	193	34.6	25.0-44.1	105	24.5	13.7-35.3	
North Dakota	161	29.1	20.8-37.4	100	22.4	14.2-30.6	61	40.0	27.2-52.8	
Ohio	63	27.3	17.3–37.2	33	‡		30	‡		
Ok ahoma	898	33.4	30.2-36.6	573	34.3	30.2-38.4	325	32.5	27.4-37.5	
Oregon	110	24.0	15.1-32.9	55	27.0	13.2-40.8	55	23.5	10.9-36.1	
Pennsy vania	37	‡		20	‡		17	‡		
Rhode Is and	69	23.5	14.4–32.5	36	‡		33	‡		
South Caro ina	90	33.1	24.0-42.2	46	‡		44	‡		
South Dakota	491	29.9	25.6-34.1	317	30.4	25.3-35.5	174	29.4	22.3-36.4	
Tennessee	37	‡		21	‡		16	‡		
Texas	103	22.5	14.2–30.7	56	20.4	10.4-30.3	47	‡		
Utah	56	37.8	25.4-50.1	29	‡		27	‡		
Vermont	77	26.5	14.7–38.2	35	‡		42	‡		
Virginia	68	33.2	21.4-44.9	32	‡		36	‡		
Washington	392	31.9	24.7–39.1	210	28.9	20.3-37.5	182	33.1	22.6-43.7	
West Virginia	59	27.9	16.8–39.0	26	‡		33	‡		
Wisconsin	89	31.5	24.2-38.8	48	‡		41	‡		
Wyoming	101	16.4	9.0-23.8	61	13.3	4.5–22.1	40	‡		
United States	7734	27.7	25.4–29.8	4491	26.1	23.3–28.9	3243	29.1	25.8–32.3	
Region§	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	
East	2206	29.1	25.6–32.6	1332	29.0	24.6–33.4	874	29.0	23.8–34.3	
Northern Pains	1835	29.8	25.5-34.1	1115	27.5	21.9-33.1	720	32.0	25.6-38.4	
Southwest	816	23.3	18.6–28.0	478	21.1	14.8–27.4	338	26.6	21.0-32.2	
Pacific Coast	712	24.8	17.9–31.6	399	19.8	12.3–27.4	313	31.4	20.0-42.7	
A aska	1047	28.5	24.5-32.5	592	33.0	28.0-37.9	455	24.5	19.0-30.0	

^{*} Data are based on "yes" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "Have you ever been to d by a doctor, nurse, or other hea th professional that you have high blood pressure?" Data are for adults ≥18 years, are age—adjusted to the 2000 U.S. population, and are weighted for the probability of sampling.

[†] Confidence interva.

[‡] Estimates for states with <50 respondents are considered unstable and are not reported.


[§] The Indian Hea th Service (IHS) provides services to American Indians and A aska Natives in 35 states. On y these 35 states were used for the regional estimates. Regions are defined as follows: East = A abama, Connecticut, Forida, Louisiana, Maine, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Pennsy vania, Rhode Island, South Carolina, Texas, Oklahoma, and Kansas. Northern Plains = Indiana, Iowa, Michigan, Minnesota, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, Wisconsin, and Wyoming. Southwest = Arizona, Colorado, Nevada, New Mexico, and Utah. Pacific Coast = California, Idaho, Oregon, and Washington. Alaska = Alaska. These regional definitions were first used in CDC's Health Behaviors of American Indians and Alaska Natives: Findings from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1993–1996.

Studies have shown that people with blood cholesterol levels in the highest 10% of the population are four times more likely to die of heart disease and stroke than those with cholesterol levels in the lowest 10% (*MMWR* 1992;41[36]). Diet modification, physical activity, weight control, and medication can help to lower blood cholesterol levels, according to the American Heart Association.

Cholesterol is a fatty substance that the human body needs to function properly. When there is too much cholesterol in the body, it deposits in arteries, causing them to narrow. People with blood cholesterol levels >240 mg/dL are considered to be at high risk for heart disease and stroke (National Cholesterol Education Program).

Prevalence of high cholesterol is increasing among American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people (*MMWR* 2003;52 [47]1148–52). In response, the IHS has developed several programs to ensure appropriate screening and to improve control of this risk factor. Sample activities include educating people

Figure 2.
Prevalence of
Self-Reported
High Cholesterol
Among Adults
≥18 Years by
Race/Ethnicity,
BRFSS, 2001 and
2003 Combined



about the dangers of high cholesterol levels, implementing electronic systems for quality assurance and reminders to health care providers, and awarding diabetes and cardiovascular health grants to tribes and AI/AN communities.

CDC currently funds 32 states and the District of Columbia to develop strategies and implement programs that reduce the prevalence of heart disease and stroke and related risk factors, including high cholesterol.

Definition of High Cholesterol

We defined self-reported high cholesterol on the basis of "yes" answers to the following Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) question: "Have you ever been told by a doctor or other health professional that your cholesterol is high?" This question was only asked in odd-numbered years, so the data for this analysis are from 2001 and 2003. Age-adjusted prevalences were calculated for adults ages ≥18 years.

Prevalence Variations

We found substantial state-to-state differences in the prevalence of high cholesterol among AI/AN people (see facing map and Table 2). A greater than 1.8-fold difference existed between the midpoint of the lowest quartile (23%) and that of the highest quartile (41%). Many of the states in the eastern half of the United States did not have sufficient data (i.e., <50 BRFSS respondents) to calculate a stable prevalence.

The national prevalence for all AI/AN people was 30%. Prevalences were similar for women (29%) and men (31%). The prevalence for AI/AN people was similar to those for other U.S. racial/ethnic groups (see Figure 2).

A Cautionary Note

Prevalences are based on a sample of AI/AN people surveyed by telephone for the BRFSS. They are likely lower than the true prevalence of high cholesterol and are more representative of AI/AN people living in urban rather than rural areas or on reservations (see Appendix B for details).




Table 2. Prevalence of Self-Reported High Cholesterol Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, by State,

	Total Population			Women			Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†
A m	52	47.4	34.6–60.3	24	‡		28	‡	
A sk	579	22.6	18.2–27.0	334	21.0	15.7–26.4	245	24.4	17.4–31.3
Arizon	164	20.4	11.9–28.9	111	13.7	6.6-20.8	53	37.3	21.9-52.6
Ark ns s	76	27.5	18.8–36.2	50	26.8	17.4–36.2	26	‡	
C iforni	68	40.6	28.8-52.3	44	‡		24	‡	
Co or do	36	‡		26	‡		10	‡	
Connecticut	59	28.7	17.2-40.2	25	‡		34	‡	
De w re	53	26.2	14.1–38.2	29	‡		24	‡	
District of Co um i	23	‡		9	‡		14	‡	
F orid	77	33.6	21.1–46.0	41	‡		36	‡	
Georgi	77	20.6	11.2–29.9	39	‡		38	‡	
H w ii	37	‡		17	‡		20	‡	
ld ho	88	33.5	23.5-43.4	58	33.0	20.5-45.4	30	‡	
I inois	51	29.2	16.6–41.8	30	‡		21	‡	
Indi n	48	‡		27	‡		21	‡	
low	15	‡		9	‡		6	‡	
K ns s	69	25.1	15.6-34.6	41	‡		28	‡	
Kentucky	59	32.5	19.1–45.9	21	‡		38	‡	
Louisi n	68	33.8	21.4-46.1	44	‡		24	‡	
M ine	44	‡		26	‡		18	‡	
M ry nd	64	26.9	16.2–37.6	35	‡		29	‡	
M ss chusetts	76	34.7	24.2-45.1	48	‡		28	‡	
Michig n	44	‡	18.9–50.6	23	‡		21	‡	
Minnesot	40	‡		23	‡		17	‡	
Mississippi	27	‡		15	‡		12	‡	
Missouri	71	27.0	15.5–38.5	38	‡		33	‡	
Mont n	485	26.1	20.7-47.3	305	28.9	21.3-36.4	180	23.1	16.1–30.0
Ne r sk	30	‡		20	‡		10	‡	
Nev d	59	40.6	26.2-54.9	27	‡		32	‡	
New H mpshire	52	34.1	20.4–47.8	28	‡		24	‡	
New Jersey	74	30.8	20.0-41.7	44	‡		30	‡	
New Mexico	233	24.9	19.3–30.4	136	22.3	15.8–28.9	97	27.0	17.7–36.3

Note: To comp re these prev nces with those for the tot U.S. popu tion, see Appendix A.

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001 and 2003 Combined*

	Total Population			Women			Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
New York	52	32.5	18.1–46.8	35	‡		17	‡	
North C ro in	216	27.4	19.2–35.5	134	29.8	19.0–40.6	82	24.2	12.7-35.6
North D kot	107	29.0	19.8–38.2	67	30.9	20.0-41.9	40	‡	
Ohio	40	‡		22	‡		18	‡	
Ok hom	639	24.2	20.8–27.7	409	25.9	21.2-30.6	230	22.8	17.6-28.1
Oregon	76	26.9	16.3–37.5	42	‡		34	‡	
Pennsy v ni	27	‡		14	‡		13	‡	
Rhode Is nd	63	29.1	18.4–39.7	33	‡		30	‡	
South C ro in	68	27.0	16.2–37.7	38	‡		30	‡	
South D kot	328	27.3	22.2-32.4	217	24.2	17.6–30.8	111	31.0	23.8-38.2
Tennessee	31	‡		17	‡		14	‡	
Tex s	83	33.1	23.9-42.3	44	‡		39	‡	
Ut h	36	‡		21	‡		15	‡	
Vermont	58	37.0	24.9-49.2	30	‡		28	‡	
Virgini	59	29.8	16.8–42.8	29	‡		30	‡	
W shington	280	32.2	24.3-40.4	146	29.4	19.9–38.9	134	35.0	24.0-46.0
West Virgini	45	‡		22	‡		23	‡	
Wisconsin	68	23.1	13.6–32.7	39	‡		29	‡	
Wyoming	72	24.5	15.1–33.9	43	‡		29	‡	
United States	5346	30.0	27.3–32.7	3149	28.6	25.3–31.9	2197	31.1	27.1–35.1
Region§	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.
E st	1620	29.1	25.3–32.9	971	31.7	26.4–37.0	649	26.5	21.3–31.8
Northern P ins	1237	29.2	23.7-34.7	773	26.9	20.4-33.3	464	31.3	23.0-39.5

Region§	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.
E st	1620	29.1	25.3-32.9	971	31.7	26.4-37.0	649	26.5	21.3–31.8
Northern P ins	1237	29.2	23.7-34.7	773	26.9	20.4-33.3	464	31.3	23.0-39.5
Southwest	528	22.9	17.4–28.3	321	18.6	12.5–24.7	207	30.3	21.5-39.1
P cific Co st	512	37.8	28.9-46.8	290	29.3	19.0-39.7	222	47.0	34.0-60.0
A sk	579	22.6	18.2–27.0	334	21.0	15.7–26.4	245	24.4	17.4–31.3

^{*} D t re sed on "yes" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "H ve you ever een to d y doctor or other he th profession th t your ood cho estero is high?" D t re for du ts ≥18 ye rs, re ge- djusted to the 2000 U.S. populition, indire weighted for the prolific ity of s mp ing.

[†] Confidence interv .

[‡] Estim tes for st tes with <50 respondents re considered unst e nd re not reported.

[§] The Indi n He th Service (IHS) provides services to Americ n Indi ns nd A sk N tives in 35 st tes. On y these 35 st tes were used for the region estim tes. Regions re defined s fo ows: E st = A m , Connecticut, F orid , Louisi n , M ine, M ss chusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Pennsy v ni , Rhode Is nd, South C ro in , Tex s, Ok hom , nd K ns s. Northern P ins = Indi n , low , Michig n, Minnesot , Mont n , Ne r sk , North D kot , South D kot , Wisconsin, nd Wyoming. Southwest = Arizon , Co or do, Nev d , New Mexico, nd Ut h. P cific Co st = C iforni , Id ho, Oregon, nd W shington. A sk = A sk . These region definitions were first used in CDC's Health Behaviors of American Indians and Alaska Natives: Findings from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1993–1996.


Cholesterol Screening

Screening for blood cholesterol levels in the general population is important because high cholesterol can be lowered with medication and behavior change. Studies have shown that a 1% decrease in cholesterol level can reduce the risk for heart disease and stroke by 2% (*MMWR* 1992;41[36]). Cholesterol levels <200 mg/dL are considered desirable (National Cholesterol Education Program, http://hin.nhlbi.nih.gov/ncep.htm).

In 1998, about 67% of U.S. residents ages ≥20 years reported having their cholesterol level checked within the past 5 years (*Healthy People 2010*). *Healthy People 2010* calls for raising this proportion to 80%. National guidelines recommend that people ages ≥20 years have their cholesterol measured at least once every 5 years (National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute).

The IHS is working to increase cholesterol screening among American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people. It is developing an electronic system to notify health care providers of current national guidelines, remind them to screen

Figure 3.
Prevalence of
Self-Reported
Cholesterol
Screening Among
Adults ≥18 Years
by Race/Ethnicity,
BRFSS, 2001 and
2003 Combined



patients, and track compliance. The IHS also is administering numerous diabetes and cardiovascular health grants that include strategies (e.g., cholesterol screening) to reduce cardiovascular risk factors.

CDC currently funds 32 states and the District of Columbia to 1) develop strategies, such as policy, environmental, and systems changes, that improve prevalence of cholesterol screening and 2) conduct activities to reduce the burden of heart disease and stroke.

Definition of Cholesterol Screening

We defined self-reported cholesterol screening on the basis of "yes" responses to the following Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) question: "Have you ever had your blood cholesterol checked?" This question was only asked in odd-numbered years, so the data for this analysis are from 2001 and 2003. Age-adjusted prevalences were calculated for adults ages ≥18 years.

Prevalence Variations

We found state-to-state differences in cholesterol screening prevalence among AI/AN people (see facing map and Table 3). A 1.3-fold difference existed between the midpoint of the lowest quartile (61%) and that of the highest quartile (82%).

The national prevalence for all AI/AN people was 71%. Prevalences were similar for women (72%) and men (71%). The prevalence for AI/AN people was higher than that for Hispanics, the same as Asians, and somewhat lower than other U.S. racial/ethnic groups (see Figure 3).

A Cautionary Note

Prevalences are based on a sample of AI/AN people surveyed by telephone for the BRFSS. They are likely higher than the true prevalence of cholesterol screening and are more representative of AI/AN people living in urban rather than rural areas or on reservations (see Appendix B for details).




Table 3. Prevalence of Self-Reported Cholesterol Screening Among American Indians and Alaska Natives,

	Tota	al Population	1		Women			Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	
A m	75	66.4	55.3–77.5	35	‡		40	‡	49.9–77.6	
A sk	992	59.6	55.4-63.7	560	59.0	53.8-64.3	432	60.2	53.9-66.6	
Arizon	268	59.8	52.0-67.7	175	61.5	51.6-71.4	93	56.8	45.1-68.6	
Ark ns s	104	66.3	56.0-76.5	62	79.0	68.3-89.7	42	‡		
C iforni	83	75.0	63.4-86.6	55	79.0	67.5–90.5	28	73.3	57.2-89.4	
Co or do	46	80.2	70.3–90.1	31	‡		15	‡		
Connecticut	72	82.1	71.4–92.9	35	‡		37	‡		
De w re	63	81.8	72.5–91.1	34	‡		29	‡		
District of Co um i	23	‡		9	‡		14	‡		
F orid	99	76.7	66.8-86.6	52	68.2	54.0-82.5	47	‡		
Georgi	96	72.7	64.5-81.0	52	67.7	59.2-76.2	44	‡		
H w ii	43	‡		21	‡		22	‡		
ld ho	118	63.0	52.8-73.2	72	69.1	56.0-82.2	46	‡		
I inois	72	60.2	47.3–73.1	42	‡		30	‡		
Indi n	66	71.2	61.2-81.1	34	‡		32	‡		
low	26	‡		16	‡		10	‡		
K ns s	87	72.2	62.0-82.4	49	‡		38	‡		
Kentucky	67	79.1	68.4-89.8	27	‡		40	‡		
Louisi n	89	72.9	63.7-82.1	59	69.6	59.1-80.1	30	‡		
M ine	61	66.3	55.2-77.4	35	‡		26	‡		
M ry nd	69	87.2	79.1–95.3	36	‡		33	‡		
M ss chusetts	93	77.8	69.5-86.0	58	87.5	81.0-93.9	35	‡		
Michig n	56	66.8	56.9-76.7	31	‡		25	‡		
Minnesot	52	72.8	59.6-86.0	30	‡		22	‡		
Mississippi	44	‡		27	‡		17	‡		
Missouri	85	73.7	63.7-83.7	44	‡		41	‡		
Mont n	725	65.6	61.0-70.2	438	69.6	64.2-75.0	287	61.6	54.1-69.1	
Ne r sk	43	‡		26	‡		17	‡		
Nev d	82	69.6	58.0-81.3	38	‡		44	‡		
New H mpshire	71	71.6	59.9-83.2	36	‡		35	‡		
New Jersey	91	72.2	59.1-85.3	52	86.6	76.5–96.8	39	‡		
New Mexico	351	66.0	60.3-71.8	197	69.6	61.8–77.3	154	62.4	53.9-70.9	

Note: To comp re these prev nces with those for the tot U.S. popu tion, see Appendix A.

by State, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001 and 2003 Combined*

	Tota	al Population	า	Women			Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†
New York	72	70.8	62.1–79.6	46	‡		26	‡	
North C ro in	289	70.2	61.2–79.2	187	74.7	68.2-81.3	102	66.2	52.2-80.3
North D kot	160	66.6	58.8-74.3	100	64.9	55.3-74.4	60	69.2	57.2-81.3
Ohio	61	56.4	45.8-67.0	32	‡		29	‡	
Ok hom	867	70.1	66.8-73.5	553	69.0	64.7–73.4	314	71.6	66.6-76.6
Oregon	104	70.4	61.6–79.2	53	82.3	72.0-92.7	51	62.9	50.2-75.6
Pennsy v ni	37	‡	57.5-89.4	20	‡		17	‡	
Rhode Is nd	69	82.0	74.7-89.3	36	‡		33	‡	
South C ro in	87	73.6	64.5-82.7	43	‡		44	‡	
South D kot	483	64.9	60.3-69.4	311	66.2	60.7–71.7	172	62.7	55.0-70.3
Tennessee	38	‡		21	‡		17	‡	
Tex s	100	79.1	71.1–87.2	54	69.4	58.6-80.3	46	‡	
Ut h	54	64.9	50.4-79.4	29	‡		25	‡	
Vermont	74	66.4	56.2-76.6	34	‡		40	‡	
Virgini	68	87.9	79.6–96.3	32	‡		36	‡	
W shington	377	69.1	62.6-75.6	201	69.1	61.7–76.6	176	68.3	59.2-77.5
West Virgini	59	63.3	52.3-74.4	26	‡		33	‡	
Wisconsin	89	71.8	60.2-83.4	48	‡		41	‡	
Wyoming	98	68.7	59.7-77.7	59	66.4	55.7-77.1	39	‡	
United States	7498	71.0	68.7–73.3	4353	71.9	68.8–75.0	3145	70.6	67.4–73.8
Region [§]	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.
E st	2141	26.5	23.5–29.5	1289	27.2	23.6–30.8	852	25.9	21.4–30.4
Northern P ins	1798	30.6	26.0-35.3	1093	29.1	23.0-35.2	705	31.3	25.3-37.3
Southwest	801	35.0	30.3-39.6	470	32.4	25.9-38.9	331	37.7	31.5-43.8
P cific Co st	682	72.4	64.0-80.9	381	77.3	67.8–86.9	301	69.6	58.5-80.7

⁵⁶⁰ * D t re sed on "yes" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "H ve you ever h d your ood cho estero checked?" D t re for du ts ≥18 ye rs, re ge- djusted to the 2000 U.S. popu tion, nd re weighted for the pro i ity of s mp ing.

41.0

35.7-46.2

36.3-44.6

40.4

A sk

992

39.8

33.4-46.1

432

[†] Confidence interv .

[‡] Estim tes for st tes with <50 respondents re considered unst e nd re not reported.


[§] The Indi in He th Service (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indi ins ind A isk. N tives in 35 st. tes. Only these 35 st. tes were used for the region in estimates. Regions in redefined is follows: E st = A in the Connecticut, Forid in the Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services to Americ in Indian in He isk services (IHS) provides services (IH Louisi n , M ine, M ss chusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Pennsy v ni , Rhode ls nd, South C ro in , Tex s, Ok hom , nd K ns s. Northern P ins = Indi n , low , Michig n, Minnesot , Mont n , Ne r sk , North D kot , South D kot , Wisconsin, nd Wyoming. Southwest = Arizon , Co or do, Nev d , New Mexico, nd Ut h. P cific Co st = C iforni , Id ho, Oregon, nd W shington. A sk = A sk . These region definitions were first used in CDC's Health Behaviors of American Indians and Alaska Natives: Findings from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1993–1996.

Diabetes is the sixth leading cause of death in the United States, accounting for more than 200,000 deaths each year. More than 18 million Americans have diabetes, and the disease costs nearly \$132 billion annually (http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/aag/aag_ddt.htm). Surprisingly, about one-third of people with diabetes are unaware that they have the disease (*Diabetes Care* 1998;21:518–24).

Adults with diabetes are 2–4 times more likely than those without diabetes to die of heart disease or stroke (http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes). High blood pressure, high blood cholesterol, and obesity—all risk factors for heart disease and stroke—also are common among people with diabetes.

Diabetes was once rare among American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people, but the prevalence is rising dramatically. The IHS recently received a significant increase in funding to prevent and control diabetes among AI/AN people. In addition, it has funded numerous community grants and prevention efforts, as well as an agressive medical intervention program.

Figure 4.
Prevalence of
Self-Reported
Diabetes Among
Adults ≥18 Years
by Race/Ethnicity,
BRFSS, 2001–2003



In 2001, CDC and the National Institutes of Health conducted a landmark clinical trial that found that Americans at risk for diabetes can reduce this risk 58% with lifestyle changes in diet and exercise. CDC also supports 59 state and territorial diabetes prevention and control programs (http://www.cdc.gov/diabetes/news/docs/dpp.htm).

Definition of Diabetes

We defined self-reported diabetes on the basis of "yes" responses to the following Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) question during 2001–2003: "Have you ever been told by a doctor that you have diabetes?" Age-adjusted prevalences were calculated for adults ages ≥18 years.

Prevalence Variations

We found dramatic state-to-state differences in the prevalence of diabetes among AI/AN people (see facing map and Table 4). A threefold difference existed between the midpoint of the lowest quartile (5.7%) and that of the highest quartile (18%).

The national prevalence for all AI/AN people was 12%. Prevalences were similar for women (12%) and men (11%). They also were highest in the Northern Plains (14%) and lowest in Alaska (5%) (see Table 4). The prevalence for AI/AN people was the same as that for blacks (see Figure 4).

A Cautionary Note

Prevalences are based on a sample of AI/AN people surveyed by telephone for the BRFSS. They are likely lower than the true prevalence of diabetes and are more representative of AI/AN people living in urban rather than rural areas or on reservations (see Appendix B for details).




Table 4. Prevalence of Self-Reported Diabetes Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, by State,

	Tota	al Populatio	n		Women			Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	
m	118	10.6	3.9–17.3	59	13.6	2.2–25.1	59	10.2	1.4–18.9	
sk	1581	5.1	3.3-6.9	912	4.7	2.7-6.7	669	5.6	2.6-8.6	
rizon	395	15.0	9.6-20.4	254	15.4	9.2-21.6	141	14.1	5.7-22.5	
rk ns s	168	7.8	3.7-11.8	94	8.8	3.6-14.0	74	6.4	0-12.9	
C iforni	120	10.4	4.5-16.3	75	12.1	4.1–20.0	45	‡		
Co or do	80	10.4	0.9-19.8	53	8.2	0-17.4	27	‡		
Connecticut	102	6.6	1.4-11.8	51	4.9	0–11.5	51	7.6	0.9-14.3	
De w re	86	13.7	6.1–21.2	46	‡		40	‡		
District of Co um i	32	‡	5.6-20.9	14	‡		18	‡		
F orid	155	13.2	5.7-20.8	79	12.5	2.7-22.4	76	13.5	3.0-24.1	
Georgi	139	3.2	0.6-5.7	73	1.3	0-3.3	66	3.7	0.4-6.9	
H w ii	82	6.6	1.3–11.9	45	‡		37	‡		
ld ho	189	12.0	6.9-17.1	115	14.9	7.6–22.1	74	8.4	2.4-14.5	
I inois	117	10.1	5.1-15.0	68	10.7	3.8-17.6	49	‡		
Indi n	118	8.4	3.0-13.7	63	11.0	3.8-18.3	55	6.2	0-13.3	
low	39	‡		25	‡		14	‡		
K ns s	137	8.0	3.6-12.3	80	12.1	4.2-20.0	57	5.6	0.8-10.4	
Kentucky	99	14.4	3.6-25.2	36	‡		63	9.0	0.7-17.2	
Louisi n	150	7.4	2.6-12.1	97	9.4	2.1-16.6	53	8.0	0-17.0	
M ine	90	9.2	2.9-15.6	50	11.0	2.9-19.1	40	‡		
M ry nd	102	12.3	3.6-20.9	52	9.9	2.1-17.6	50	10.8	0.3-21.3	
M ss chusetts	148	8.2	2.2-14.3	89	7.6	1.9-13.2	59	9.0	0-19.7	
Michig n	102	17.5	9.9–25.1	55	15.1	5.4-24.8	47	‡		
Minnesot	85	12.7	6.0-19.3	49	‡		36	‡		
Mississippi	63	21.5	10.9-32.1	42	‡		21	‡		
Missouri	159	6.3	2.6-10.1	77	5.4	0-11.3	82	8.2	2.8-13.7	
Mont n	1088	12.8	10.2-15.4	659	12.8	9.4-16.3	429	13.2	9.4-17.0	
Ne r sk	74	8.0	1.3–14.6	45	‡		29	‡		
Nev d	132	4.8	1.9–7.7	68	6.2	1.0-11.4	64	6.3	0.8-11.7	
New H mpshire	126	8.1	3.2-13.0	58	6.1	0.3-12.0	68	9.5	2.4-16.6	
New Jersey	129	10.8	3.0-18.6	73	5.3	0-10.5	56	16.2	3.4-28.9	
New Mexico	552	12.2	8.6-15.7	314	14.2	9.5–18.9	238	10.0	5.0-15.0	

Note: To comp re these prev nces with those for the tot U.S. popu tion, see ppendix .

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001–2003*

	Tota	l Populatio	n		Women			Men	
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†
New York	107	17.3	9.7–24.9	66	17.6	6.5–28.8	41	‡	
North C ro in	481	13.1	8.3-17.9	306	16.8	10.4–23.1	175	9.6	2.5-16.8
North D kot	250	12.7	7.2-18.2	156	13.1	6.4-19.8	94	12.8	3.2-22.4
Ohio	98	17.8	9.2-26.4	46	‡	0.1-19.0	52	17.3	8.2-26.5
Ok hom	1372	13.6	11.5–15.6	858	13.7	11.2–16.1	514	13.4	10.0-16.8
Oregon	164	12.8	7.1–18.5	89	11.2	3.8-18.7	75	14.4	6.0-22.8
Pennsy v ni	98	10.4	4.3-16.4	48	‡		50	13.2	3.3-23.0
Rhode Is nd	99	8.2	2.9-13.4	53	8.3	1.7–15.0	46	‡	
South C ro in	122	17.9	10.8–25.1	64	13.9	8.5-19.3	58	18.3	7.0-29.6
South D kot	670	18.5	14.7–22.4	426	17.3	12.8–21.7	244	20.3	14.0-26.6
Tennessee	56	12.4	2.0-22.8	27	‡		29	‡	
Tex s	164	10.8	5.0-16.5	95	9.1	3.2-15.1	69	13.4	2.5-24.2
Ut h	90	5.0	0-10.5	46	‡		44	‡	
Vermont	119	10.0	4.4-15.6	48	‡		71	12.6	5.1-20.0
Virgini	101	10.1	3.1-17.2	47	‡		54	11.8	3.6-20.1
W shington	475	10.8	6.8-14.8	256	16.7	9.5-23.9	219	6.1	2.2-10.0
West Virgini	76	14.2	6.9-21.6	36	‡		40	‡	
Wisconsin	144	13.7	6.1–21.3	76	21.2	11.0-31.4	68	5.9	0.7-11.1
Wyoming	144	13.7	7.6–19.8	84	18.3	9.9–26.6	60	7.1	0–14.9
United States	11587	11.9	10.4–13.4	6697	12.4	10.3–14.5	4890	11.4	9.4–13.4
Region§	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.
E st	3406	13.0	10.7–15.4	2037	13.4	10.3–16.4	1369	12.7	9.1–16.3
Northern P ins	2714	13.6	10.9–16.4	1638	15.1	11.3–18.9	1076	12.2	8.3-16.1
Southwest	1249	11.6	8.8–14.5	735	11.9	8.5–15.3	514	11.5	6.8–16.3
P cific Co st	948	11.0	6.5–15.5	535	13.0	6.6–19.4	413	7.7	3.1–12.4
sk	1581	5.1	3.3–6.9	912	4.7	2.7–6.7	669	5.6	2.6–8.6

^{*} D t re sed on "yes" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "H ve you ever een to d y doctor th t you h ve di etes?" D t re for du ts ≥18 ye rs, re ge- djusted to the 2000 U.S. popu tion, nd re weighted for the pro i ity of s mp ing.

[†] Confidence interv .

[‡] Estim tes for st tes with <50 respondents re considered unst e nd re not reported.

[§] The Indi n He th Service (IHS) provides services to meric n Indi ns nd sk N tives in 35 st tes. On y these 35 st tes were used for the region estim tes. Regions re defined s fo ows: E st = m, Connecticut, F orid, Louisi n, M ine, M ss chusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Pennsy v ni, Rhode Is nd, South C ro in, Tex.s, Ok hom, nd K ns. Northern P ins = Indi n, low, Michig n, Minnesot, Mont n, Ne r sk, North D kot, South D kot, Wisconsin, nd Wyoming. Southwest = rizon, Co or do, Nev d, New Mexico, nd Ut h. P cific Co st = C iforni, Id ho, Oregon, nd W shington. sk = sk. These region definitions were first used in CDC's Health Behaviors of American Indians and Alaska Natives: Findings from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1993–1996.

Cigarette smoking is a major cause of heart disease and stroke, accounting for 30% of all U.S. deaths from coronary heart disease (*Circulation* 1997;96:3243–7). Cigarette smokers are 2–4 times more likely than nonsmokers to develop coronary heart disease (*Reducing the Health Consequences of Smoking:* 25 Years of Progress; 1989) and twice as likely to suffer a stroke (*Circulation* 1997;96:3243–7). For both conditions, the smoking-related risk for death increases if other CHD risk factors are present.

CDC provides national leadership for a comprehensive approach to reducing tobacco use that includes preventing young people from starting to smoke, eliminating human exposure to secondhand smoke, promoting smoking cessation, and eliminating disparities in tobacco use among different populations. CDC also funds eight tribal tobacco control support centers, which provide resources for tobacco prevention and cessation in American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) communities.

Tobacco control programs in AI/AN communities must distinguish between traditional ceremonial use and addictive




Figure 5.
Prevalence of
Self-Reported
Cigarette
Smoking Among
Adults ≥18 Years
by Race/Ethnicity,
BRFSS, 2001–2003

abuse of tobacco. In ceremonial settings, small amounts of tobacco are used, and the potential for addiction or health problems is low (*BMJ* 1997;75:1690–3). IHS offers numerous tobacco cessation programs, many of which were developed with partners and other federal agencies. In areas with high smoking prevalences, IHS actively promotes cessation through clinic-based and community programs.

Definition of Cigarette Smoking

We defined self-reported current cigarette smoking on the basis of responses to two questions from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) during 2001–2003. The first was, "Have you smoked at least 100 cigarettes in your entire life?" Respondents who answered "yes" were then asked a follow-up question: "Do you now smoke cigarettes every day, some days, or not at all?" People who reported smoking at least 100 cigarettes in their lifetime and smoking now every day or some days were defined as current smokers. Age-adjusted prevalences were calculated for adults ages ≥18 years.

Prevalence Variations

We found dramatic state-to-state differences in smoking prevalence among AI/AN people (see facing map and Table 5). A twofold difference existed between the midpoint of the lowest quartile (21%) and that of the highest quartile (50%). The national prevalence for all AI/AN people was 38%, with men (42%) smoking more than women (34%). This gender difference is similar to that observed for the general U.S. population. The Northern Plains (41.3%) and Alaska (41.1%) had the highest prevalence (41%), whereas the Southwest had the lowest (21%) (see Table 5). AI/AN people had the highest smoking prevalence among U.S. racial/ethnic groups (see Figure 5).

A Cautionary Note

Prevalences are based on a sample of AI/AN people surveyed by telephone for the BRFSS. They are likely lower than the true prevalence of cigarette smoking and are more representative of AI/AN people living in urban rather than rural areas or on reservations (see Appendix B for details).




Table 5. Prevalence of Self-Reported Cigarette Smoking Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, by State,

	Tota	al Population	l		Women		Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
m	118	38.8	28.7–48.8	59	35.7	24.3–47.1	59	39.9	26.3–53.6
sk	1573	41.1	37.4-44.7	904	37.3	32.9-41.8	669	45.3	39.7-50.9
rizon	396	13.8	9.6-18.0	255	12.8	7.7–17.9	141	15.1	8.3-21.9
rk ns s	169	44.4	35.8-52.9	95	45.3	34.0-56.6	74	43.8	31.5-56.0
C iforni	120	36.7	27.1-46.2	75	31.3	20.2-42.4	45	‡	
Co or do	79	43.7	29.8–57.5	52	52.1	36.3-67.9	27	‡	
Connecticut	101	42.8	32.5-53.0	50	37.0	23.1-51.0	51	42.6	30.1-55.1
De w re	86	34.6	23.3-45.9	46	‡		40	‡	
District of Co um i	31	‡		14	‡		17	‡	
F orid	156	42.7	32.0-53.5	80	41.1	30.5–51.6	76	39.8	24.3-55.4
Georgi	139	46.2	36.0-56.4	73	33.5	20.1-46.8	66	53.3	38.6-67.9
H w ii	82	23.5	11.1–35.9	45	‡		37	‡	
ld ho	189	39.6	32.1-47.1	115	38.4	28.9-47.9	74	40.9	28.7-53.2
I inois	117	49.3	39.2-59.3	68	42.9	31.4-54.3	49	‡	
Indi n	119	44.3	34.6-54.0	63	37.5	25.0-49.9	56	53.2	39.5-67.0
low	39	‡		25	‡		14	‡	
K ns s	137	32.1	23.9-40.2	80	35.5	24.7-46.3	57	29.1	17.3-40.9
Kentucky	99	38.5	27.5-49.4	36	‡		63	43.0	27.4-58.6
Louisi n	150	37.4	29.0-45.7	97	34.5	24.0-45.0	53	38.4	25.7-51.2
M ine	89	34.2	24.7-43.8	49	‡		40	‡	
M ry nd	102	37.4	27.0-47.8	52	19.0	9.0-29.0	50	46.3	32.8-59.8
M ss chusetts	148	36.3	26.8-45.9	89	31.7	20.7-42.7	59	43.9	28.3-59.5
Michig n	101	37.0	27.1–47.0	54	32.8	19.6-46.0	47	‡	
Minnesot	85	49.4	38.6-60.3	49	‡		36	‡	
Mississippi	62	39.0	26.4-51.6	41	‡		21	‡	
Missouri	158	48.9	39.5-58.4	77	33.6	22.1-45.0	81	54.1	42.5-65.6
Mont n	1089	42.5	38.3-46.8	659	45.7	40.2–51.3	430	38.7	32.3-45.2
Ne r sk	74	41.2	29.7-52.7	45	‡		29	‡	
Nev d	132	40.3	29.1-51.6	68	36.2	21.2–51.1	64	47.5	32.4-62.6
New H mpshire	126	32.3	23.6-41.1	58	40.3	26.8-53.8	68	26.9	17.1–36.7
New Jersey	129	25.6	14.3–36.9	73	23.6	10.5–36.7	56	26.8	10.0-43.5
New Mexico	552	17.1	12.6–21.7	314	9.9	6.3-13.4	238	24.7	16.8–32.6

Note: To comp re these prev nces with those for the tot U.S. popu tion, see ppendix .

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2000–2003*

	Tota	al Population	1		Women		Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
New York	107	34.8	23.3-46.2	66	21.5	11.2–31.8	41	‡	
North C ro in	481	33.7	26.3-41.0	305	29.4	21.3-37.5	176	37.6	27.3-47.8
North D kot	250	48.4	41.6-55.2	156	58.1	49.5-66.6	94	35.3	25.6-45.0
Ohio	97	53.7	41.9-65.6	45	‡		52	65.3	53.5-77.0
Ok hom	1371	37.9	34.7-41.0	858	33.9	30.2-37.6	513	42.3	37.4-47.3
Oregon	164	39.7	31.6-47.9	89	39.0	26.8-51.2	75	42.8	32.7-52.8
Pennsy v ni	98	35.2	24.2-46.3	48	‡		50	35.3	21.6-49.0
Rhode Is nd	97	55.1	43.7-66.6	52	57.8	43.7–72.0	45	‡	
South C ro in	122	43.1	33.6-52.5	63	37.6	26.8-48.5	59	41.2	28.1-54.3
South D kot	670	44.6	40.0-49.2	426	42.4	37.1–47.7	244	49.0	41.5–56.5
Tennessee	56	39.3	25.9-52.6	27	‡		29	‡	
Tex s	164	43.1	34.8-51.3	95	45.0	33.8-56.2	69	41.3	28.9-53.7
Ut h	90	19.4	8.5-30.3	46	‡		44	‡	
Vermont	119	45.6	36.4-54.9	48	‡		71	49.9	38.6-61.2
Virgini	101	31.0	20.0-41.9	47	‡		54	40.0	25.5-54.5
W shington	476	38.1	31.1–45.2	255	34.2	25.2-43.2	221	41.2	31.1–51.3
West Virgini	76	54.9	44.2-65.5	36	‡		40	‡	
Wisconsin	144	37.5	28.0-47.0	76	22.5	12.2–32.8	68	51.2	37.0-65.4
Wyoming	145	53.5	44.5–62.5	85	49.1	37.4–60.9	60	57.5	44.2–70.8
United States	11575	38.1	36.1–40.0	6683	33.6	31.3–35.9	4892	42.3	39.2–45.3
Region§	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.
E st	3401	38.9	35.8-42.1	2032	35.3	34.8–38.8	1369	42.0	36.9-46.9
Northern P ins	2716	41.3	37.4-45.2	1638	36.8	32.1-41.0	1078	46.2	40.0-52.3
Southwest	1249	20.7	17.4–24.0	735	18.7	14.4–22.9	514	24.3	19.0–29.5
P cific Co st	949	36.8	30.1–43.6	534	32.1	24.0–40.2	415	42.1	31.3–52.8
sk	1573	41.1	37.4–44.7	904	37.3	32.9–41.8	669	45.3	30.1–35.3

^{*} D t re sed on "yes" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "H ve you smoked t e st 100 cig rettes in your entire ife?" Respondents who nswered "yes" were then sked, "Do you now smoke every d y, some d ys, or not t ?" Peop e who reported smoking t e st 100 cig rettes in their ifetime nd smoking now every d y or some d ys were defined s current smokers. D t re for duts ≥18 ye rs, re ge- djusted to the 2000 U.S. popu - tion, nd re weighted for the pro i ity of s mp ing.

[†] Confidence interv .

[‡] Estim tes for st tes with <50 respondents re considered unst e nd re not reported.


[§] The Indi n He th Service (IHS) provides services to meric n Indi ns nd sk N tives in 35 st tes. On y these 35 st tes were used for the region estim tes. Regions re defined s fo ows: E st = m, Connecticut, F orid, Louisi n, M ine, M ss chusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Pennsy v ni, Rhode Is nd, South C ro in, Tex s, Ok hom, nd K ns s. Northern P ins = Indi n, low, Michig n, Minnesot, Mont n, Ne r sk, North D kot, South D kot, Wisconsin, nd Wyoming. Southwest = rizon, Co or do, Nev d, New Mexico, nd Ut h. P cific Co st = C iforni, Id ho, Oregon, nd W shington. sk = sk. These region definitions were first used in CDC's Health Behaviors of American Indians and Alaska Natives: Findings from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1993–1996.

Obesity and a sedentary lifestyle account for about 300,000 premature deaths and \$90 billion in direct health care costs each year (http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/aag/aag_dnpa.htm). Obesity also increases the nation's prevalence of weight-related risk factors for cardiovascular disease, including high blood pressure, high blood cholesterol, and diabetes (*Arch Intern Med* 2004;164:249–58).

Preventing or reducing these risk factors by eating a healthy diet and increasing physical activity can lower a person's risk for heart disease and stroke. For example, losing at least 10 lbs and maintaining that loss for 36 months can lower a person's blood pressure significantly (*Ann Intern Med* 2001;134:1–11).

CDC provides national leadership for obesity control through programs that promote increased fruit and vegetable consumption (e.g., 5 A Day for Better Health) and physical activity (e.g., KidsWalk-to-School) among adults and children. CDC also sponsors 12 state programs to help prevent obesity by improving nutrition and increasing physical activity in these states.

Figure 6.
Prevalence of
Self-Reported
Obesity Among
Adults ≥18 Years
by Race/Ethnicity,
BRFSS, 2001–2003



The high prevalence of obesity among American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people is contributing to a high incidence of diabetes in this population. The IHS recently received a significant increase in funding to prevent and control diabetes among AI/AN people. It is implementing community and health care system programs as part of the IHS Director's Prevention Initiative.

Definition of Obesity

We defined self-reported obesity on the basis of questions from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) that asked respondents their height and weight during 2001–2003. We used this information to calculate respondents' body mass index (BMI). People with a BMI ≥30.0 were considered obese. Age-adjusted prevalences were calculated for adults ages ≥18 years.

Prevalence Variations

We found dramatic state-to-state differences in the prevalence of obesity among AI/AN people (see facing map and Table 6). A twofold difference existed between the midpoint of the lowest quartile (17%) and that of the highest quartile (36%).

The national prevalence for all AI/AN people was 28%. Prevalences were similar for women (28%) and men (27%). AI/AN people ranked second among U.S. racial/ethnic groups, with only blacks having a higher prevalence (see Figure 6).

A Cautionary Note

Prevalences are based on a sample of AI/AN people surveyed by telephone for the BRFSS. They are likely lower than the true prevalence of obesity and are more representative of AI/AN people living in urban rather than rural areas or on reservations (see Appendix B for details).




Table 6. Prevalence of Self-Reported Obesity Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, by State,

	Tota	al Population	1		Women		Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
m	116	29.4	20.6-38.2	57	35.8	20.6–51.1	59	27.6	17.5–37.7
sk	1521	29.1	25.5-32.6	856	32.3	27.3-37.4	665	25.4	20.6-30.1
rizon	383	35.2	28.5-42.0	246	32.8	24.1–41.5	137	35.5	26.4-44.5
rk ns s	164	20.1	13.8-26.4	91	22.0	13.5–30.5	73	18.2	8.8-27.4
C iforni	119	28.0	19.3–36.7	75	28.8	18.3–39.2	44	‡	13.7-40.4
Co or do	76	28.9	16.9-40.8	49	‡	16.6-40.2	27	‡	
Connecticut	98	21.2	12.0-30.4	48	‡		50	27.9	14.4-41.3
De w re	80	16.8	8.5-25.2	40	‡		40	‡	
District of Co um i	31	‡		13	‡		18	‡	
F orid	153	17.0	9.4-24.5	78	11.9	4.5–19.4	75	21.1	9.7-32.4
Georgi	135	25.1	16.9–33.3	70	28.7	15.9-41.4	65	23.2	12.7-33.6
H w ii	80	34.2	18.7–49.8	44	‡		36	‡	
ld ho	177	29.5	22.0-37.1	104	39.1	28.1-50.1	73	18.9	9.7-28.1
I inois	110	19.2	10.8–27.7	65	20.6	10.9–30.2	45	‡	
Indi n	112	28.5	19.5–37.5	56	33.0	19.6-46.4	56	24.9	12.5-37.2
low	38	‡		24	‡		14	‡	
K ns s	130	26.2	18.2-34.2	74	21.4	11.6–31.1	56	33.2	21.0-45.4
Kentucky	93	20.1	10.8-29.3	31	‡		62	28.5	13.2-43.8
Louisi n	140	23.4	15.8–31.1	89	18.7	9.7–27.6	51	33.0	19.2-46.8
M ine	80	19.1	10.9–27.3	41	‡		39	‡	
M ry nd	99	12.6	5.8-19.4	49	‡		50	17.7	6.7-28.8
M ss chusetts	134	21.5	12.5-30.4	76	28.6	17.6–39.6	58	16.2	5.2-27.2
Michig n	98	35.6	23.8-47.3	51	32.8	20.3-45.4	47	‡	
Minnesot	83	38.1	26.9-49.2	47	‡		36	‡	
Mississippi	59	39.3	25.1-53.6	38	‡		21	‡	
Missouri	153	24.8	16.7-32.9	72	24.3	13.4–35.2	81	24.5	14.1-34.9
Mont n	1061	38.0	33.7-42.3	634	35.3	29.3-41.2	427	41.5	35.4-47.6
Ne r sk	70	35.0	22.2-47.8	42	‡		28	‡	
Nev d	128	24.1	12.5–35.6	65	26.7	13.3-40.1	63	15.1	6.8-23.3
New H mpshire	120	20.6	13.3–27.9	53	14.5	5.3-23.6	67	24.4	14.2-34.5
New Jersey	123	15.9	7.5–24.4	68	13.6	4.4-22.9	55	21.5	7.5–35.5
New Mexico	537	31.7	26.6-36.8	303	34.2	27.3-41.1	234	29.3	22.3-36.3

Note: To comp re these prev nces with those for the tot U.S. popu tion, see ppendix .

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001–2003*

	Tota	l Population	1		Women		Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
New York	101	39.1	26.9–51.2	62	38.8	26.1–51.5	39	‡	
North C ro in	465	29.1	22.7-35.6	293	31.3	22.7-39.9	172	27.2	17.9–36.5
North D kot	244	36.0	28.1-43.9	151	34.0	24.3-43.8	93	37.1	25.1-49.2
Ohio	94	18.2	10.3-26.1	43	‡		51	18.7	7.9–29.5
Ok hom	1319	29.7	26.9-32.6	811	29.9	26.2-33.5	508	29.6	25.1-34.0
Oregon	155	29.3	21.2–37.5	80	22.5	13.2–31.8	75	34.5	22.3-46.6
Pennsy v ni	96	26.7	15.9–37.5	46	‡		50	21.1	10.9-31.4
Rhode Is nd	94	28.0	17.2–38.8	50	31.3	17.5–45.1	44	‡	
South C ro in	117	20.9	13.2–28.5	58	17.1	8.8-25.5	59	20.9	10.7–31.1
South D kot	656	36.4	31.8-40.9	411	33.4	28.2–38.7	245	39.3	32.1-46.6
Tennessee	52	18.8	9.7–27.8	24	‡		28	‡	
Tex s	160	25.9	18.3–33.5	92	26.6	16.1–37.1	68	27.5	14.8–40.2
Ut h	90	25.4	15.2–35.5	46	‡		44	‡	
Vermont	110	23.3	14.4–32.3	41	‡		69	20.6	11.0-30.3
Virgini	99	28.0	17.4–38.5	45	‡		54	27.9	15.1-40.7
W shington	455	30.1	23.4-36.7	238	32.6	24.1–41.0	217	28.6	19.3–37.9
West Virgini	75	27.8	17.3–38.4	35	‡		40	‡	
Wisconsin	141	32.3	24.5–39.9	73	35.0	24.2-45.7	68	27.6	17.3–37.9
Wyoming	143	24.0	16.2–31.8	84	24.7	14.7–34.6	59	20.7	9.8–31.5
United States	11167	27.8	25.9–29.7	6332	28.3	25.7–30.9	4835	27.1	24.5–29.7
Region§	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.
E st	3262	26.7	23.9–29.6	1913	27.7	24.0-31.4	1349	25.9	21.8–30.0
Northern P ins	2646	35.1	30.7–39.5	1573	35.9	30.6-41.1	1073	33.1	27.1-39.2
Southwest	1214	31.7	27.5–35.8	709	30.9	25.3–36.5	505	30.8	25.8-35.9
P cific Co st	906	29.0	22.7–35.4	497	30.0	21.8–38.1	409	27.9	18.7–37.0
sk	1521	29.1	25.5–32.6	856	32.3	27.3–37.4	665	25.4	20.6–30.1

^{*} D t re sed on se f-reported height ind weight from the BRFSS, which wis used to clicic cuite ody miss index (BMI). BMI >30.0 wis considered or ese. D t in refor duits ≥18 years, rege-djusted to the 2000 U.S. populition, not reweighted for the prolitic in the prolitic sequence.

[†] Confidence interv .

[‡] Estim tes for st tes with <50 respondents re considered unst e nd re not reported.

[§] The Indi n He th Service (IHS) provides services to meric n Indi ns nd sk N tives in 35 st tes. On y these 35 st tes were used for the region estim tes. Regions re defined s fo ows: E st = m, Connecticut, F orid, Louisi n, M ine, M ss chusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Pennsy v ni, Rhode Is nd, South C ro in, Tex. s, Ok hom, nd K ns. Northern P ins = Indi n, Iow, Michig n, Minnesot, Mont n, Ne r sk, North D kot, South D kot, Wisconsin, nd Wyoming. Southwest = rizon, Co or do, Nev d, New Mexico, nd Ut h. P cific Co st = C iforni, Id ho, Oregon, nd W shington. sk = sk. These region definitions were first used in CDC's Health Behaviors of American Indians and Alaska Natives: Findings from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1993–1996.


Physical Inactivity

Physical inactivity and unhealthy diets are second only to tobacco use as the leading causes of preventable death in the United States (*JAMA* 2004;291:1238–42). In addition to reducing a person's risk for death, increased physical activity can reduce the risk for chronic diseases and conditions such as cardiovascular disease, diabetes, obesity, and musculoskeletal conditions (*Proceedings of the 1992 International Conference on Physical Activity, Fitness and Health*; 1994).

CDC recommends at least 30 minutes of moderate-intensity physical activity (e.g., walking briskly, mowing the lawn, dancing, swimming, bicycling) at least 5 days a week (*Physical Activity and Health: A Report of the Surgeon General*; 1996).

Healthy People 2010 calls for reducing the proportion of the total U.S. population with no leisure-time physical activity to 20%. It also seeks to increase the proportion of people who regularly participate in moderate physical activity to 30%.

Figure 7.
Prevalence of
Self-Reported
Physical Inactivity
Among Adults
≥18 Years by
Race/Ethnicity,
BRFSS, 2001–2003



The IHS is implementing community-based programs that promote healthier diets and increased physical activity among American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people in the context of their traditional values and cultures.

Definition of Physical Inactivity

We defined self-reported physical inactivity on the basis of "no" responses to the following Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) question during 2001–2003: "During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercise such as running, calisthenics, golf, gardening, or walking for exercise?" Age-adjusted prevalences were calculated for adults ages ≥18 years.

Prevalence Variations

We found dramatic state-to-state differences in the prevalence of physical inactivity among AI/AN people (see facing map and Table 7). A 1.7-fold difference existed between the midpoint of the lowest quartile (23%) and that of the highest quartile (40%).

The national prevalence for all AI/AN people was 30%. The prevalence was higher for women (32%) than for men (28%). The prevalence for AI/AN people was lower than those for blacks and Hispanics and somewhat higher than those for other U.S. racial/ethnic groups (see Figure 7).

A Cautionary Note

Prevalences are based on a sample of AI/AN people surveyed by telephone for the BRFSS. They are likely lower than the true prevalence of physical inactivity and are more representative of AI/AN people living in urban rather than rural areas or on reservations (see Appendix B for more details).




Table 7. Prevalence of Self-Reported Physical Inactivity Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, by State,

	Tota	al Populatior	1	Women			Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†
m	118	35.2	24.0–46.4	59	34.7	22.0–47.4	59	37.6	22.4-52.9
sk	1582	32.5	28.6-36.3	910	38.4	32.9-43.9	672	25.8	21.3-30.4
rizon	394	27.9	21.1–34.7	254	30.4	21.7-39.2	140	24.4	14.7-34.1
rk ns s	169	32.9	25.0-40.8	95	33.9	23.2-44.6	74	29.7	18.8-40.6
C iforni	113	21.6	13.4–29.7	71	20.8	11.7–29.9	42	‡	
Co or do	80	21.1	9.9-32.4	53	22.4	9.6–35.1	27	‡	
Connecticut	102	46.6	35.3-57.8	51	46.7	33.5-59.9	51	41.7	27.4-56.0
De w re	86	35.4	22.2-48.7	46	‡		40	‡	
District of Co um i	32	‡		14	‡		18	‡	
F orid	155	29.3	19.6–39.0	80	34.3	20.2-48.3	75	26.2	13.8–38.5
Georgi	139	29.4	19.6–39.2	73	30.6	18.5-42.6	66	28.0	15.6-40.5
H w ii	82	25.0	13.8–36.2	45	‡		37	‡	
ld ho	188	23.1	16.2-29.9	114	19.9	11.4–28.4	74	26.0	15.9-36.1
I inois	117	33.0	23.8-42.3	68	33.5	21.5-45.4	49	‡	
Indi n	119	32.5	23.2-41.7	63	30.7	19.2-42.2	56	34.0	20.8-47.3
low	39	‡		25	‡		14	‡	
K ns s	137	28.6	20.2-36.9	80	22.2	12.2-32.3	57	32.2	20.3-44.1
Kentucky	99	28.1	18.2–37.9	36	‡		63	33.2	22.2-44.1
Louisi n	150	32.8	24.8-40.9	97	34.4	24.8-44.1	53	37.6	25.3-49.9
M ine	90	27.0	17.3–36.6	50	24.7	14.4-34.9	40	‡	
M ry nd	102	24.9	14.0–35.8	52	40.0	24.7-55.3	50	16.5	5.5-27.5
M ss chusetts	148	31.2	21.0-41.4	89	39.7	27.1-52.4	59	23.0	9.8-36.2
Michig n	102	24.6	15.9–33.3	55	18.7	8.3-29.1	47	‡	
Minnesot	85	23.7	14.1–33.3	49	‡		36	‡	
Mississippi	63	38.0	24.4-51.5	42	‡		21	‡	
Missouri	159	31.0	23.4-38.6	77	26.2	15.3-37.0	82	36.8	26.6-47.0
Mont n	1088	31.5	27.2–35.7	658	31.3	25.6-37.0	430	32.2	26.2-38.1
Ne r sk	74	28.9	18.1–39.8	45	‡		29	‡	
Nev d	132	24.5	13.2–35.9	68	32.5	16.5–48.5	64	13.7	6.3-21.1
New H mpshire	126	21.9	14.6–29.2	58	26.3	14.1–38.4	68	18.5	9.9–27.1
New Jersey	129	40.6	27.2-54.0	73	39.9	25.4-54.3	56	38.7	23.7-53.7
New Mexico	552	23.7	19.3–28.1	314	26.5	20.7-32.4	238	20.2	14.3-26.1

Note: To comp re these prev nces with those for the tot U.S. popu tion, see ppendix .

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001–2003*

	Total Population					Men			
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
New York	106	34.5	23.5–45.5	65	42.6	29.4–55.7	41	‡	
North C ro in	483	38.1	30.9-45.3	307	37.2	28.8-45.6	176	39.0	27.6-50.4
North D kot	251	30.2	23.0-37.4	156	27.1	19.0–35.3	95	35.4	23.9-46.9
Ohio	97	27.5	17.5–37.5	46	‡		51	32.4	18.6-46.2
Ok hom	1374	34.4	31.5–37.3	859	38.5	34.7-42.2	515	29.7	25.3-34.1
Oregon	164	28.5	21.2–35.8	89	24.9	15.2–34.6	75	32.8	21.8-43.9
Pennsy v ni	96	28.4	16.8-40.0	48	‡		48	‡	
Rhode Is nd	99	21.6	13.2–30.1	53	35.1	23.1–47.1	46	‡	
South C ro in	123	31.3	21.8-40.8	64	21.0	11.2–30.9	59	38.2	24.2-52.3
South D kot	671	31.6	27.1-36.1	426	30.3	24.9-35.7	245	33.6	26.6-40.6
Tennessee	56	38.1	25.2-51.0	27	‡		29	‡	
Tex s	164	28.8	21.2–36.3	95	35.4	25.0-45.9	69	20.7	10.2–31.2
Ut h	90	36.9	26.0-47.8	46	‡		44	‡	
Vermont	119	23.9	15.7–32.1	48	‡		71	24.1	13.7-34.5
Virgini	101	32.4	22.4-42.4	47	‡		54	23.9	11.1–36.8
W shington	475	26.8	20.3-33.3	255	30.3	21.4-39.2	220	24.6	15.9-33.4
West Virgini	76	30.3	19.7–40.9	36	‡		40	‡	
Wisconsin	144	36.9	28.6-45.1	76	37.5	26.4-48.5	68	37.2	24.8-49.5
Wyoming	145	26.0	18.5–33.5	85	28.0	18.1–37.9	60	24.9	13.5–36.3
United States	11585	29.7	27.9–31.6	6692	31.6	29.1–34.0	4893	28.1	25.4–30.7
Region§	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.
E st	3408	32.5	29.6–35.4	2039	36.2	32.4–40.0	1369	29.1	24.8-33.4
Northern P ins	2718	29.9	26.4-33.4	1638	29.9	25.2-34.5	1080	30.4	25.3-35.5
Southwest	1248	26.3	22.5–30.2	735	28.4	23.1–33.8	513	22.9	17.9–27.8
P cific Co st	940	23.1	17.2–29.0	529	22.0	15.0–28.9	411	24.3	14.9–33.7
sk	1582	32.5	28.6–36.3	910	38.4	32.9–43.9	672	25.8	21.3–30.4

^{*} D t re sed on "yes" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "During the p st month, other th n your regurjo, did you prticip te in ny physic ctivities or exercise such srunning, c isthenics, go f, g rdening, or w king for exercise?" D t refor duts ≥18 yers, rege-djusted to the 2000 U.S. popultion, nd reweighted for the prolity of smping.

[†] Confidence interv .

[‡] Estim tes for st tes with <50 respondents re considered unst e nd re not reported.


[§] The Indi n He th Service (IHS) provides services to meric n Indi ns nd sk N tives in 35 st tes. On y these 35 st tes were used for the region estim tes. Regions re defined s fo ows: E st = m, Connecticut, F orid, Louisi n, M ine, M ss chusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Pennsy v ni, Rhode Is nd, South C ro in, Tex. s, Ok hom, nd K ns. Northern P ins = Indi n, Iow, Michig n, Minnesot, Mont n, Ne r sk, North D kot, South D kot, Wisconsin, nd Wyoming. Southwest = rizon, Co or do, Nev d, New Mexico, nd Ut h. P cific Co st = C iforni, Id ho, Oregon, nd W shington. sk = sk. These region definitions were first used in CDC's Health Behaviors of American Indians and Alaska Natives: Findings from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1993–1996.

Self-perception of health is often used as a representative measurement of a range of factors that can affect a person's general health and functional status. For example, studies show that a person's perception of his general health can predict his risk for death and disability. Even after adjusting for socioeconomic (e.g., education) and health risk (e.g., number of physician visits) variables, people who report poor or fair health have an approximately twofold greater risk of death (*Am J Epidemiol* 1999;149:41–66).

People who report poor health also are more likely to think that they are at greater risk of having a heart attack (*Behav Med* 2000;26:4–13). In addition, self-perception of poor health has been linked to risk factors associated with heart disease and stroke, such as diabetes, smoking, high blood pressure, and physical inactivity (*MMWR* 1996;46:906–11).

To support the *Healthy People 2010* goal of increasing Americans' quality and years of healthy life, CDC developed

Figure 8.
Prevalence of
Self-Reported
Poor Health
Among Adults
≥18 Years by
Race/Ethnicity,
BRFSS, 2001–2003



the Healthy Days surveillance measure to monitor leading health indicators such as physical activity, obesity, and tobacco use (*Measuring Healthy Days*; 2000). The resulting data can guide policy changes designed to improve the health of the nation and decrease the number of people reporting poor general health.

Definition of Poor Health

We defined self-reported poor health on the basis of "poor" responses to the following Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS) question during 2001–2003: "Would you say that in general your health is excellent, very good, good, fair, or poor?" Age-adjusted prevalences were calculated for adults ages ≥18 years.

Prevalence Variations

We found substantial state-to-state differences in the prevalence of poor health among American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people (see facing map and Table 8). A two-fold difference existed between the midpoint of the lowest quartile (18%) and that of the highest quartile (36%).

The national prevalence for all AI/AN people was 26%. The prevalence was higher for women (28%) than for men (24%). AI/AN people ranked second among U.S. racial/ethnic groups, with only Hispanics having a higher prevalence (see Figure 8).

A Cautionary Note

Prevalences are based on a sample of AI/AN people surveyed by telephone for the BRFSS. They are likely lower than the true prevalence of poor health and are more representative of AI/AN people living in urban rather than rural areas or on reservations (see Appendix B for more details).




Table 8. Prevalence of Self-Reported Poor Health Among American Indians and Alaska Natives, by State,

	То	tal Population	<u> </u>		Women		Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
m	118	35.6	26.5-44.6	59	40.5	31.3–49.7	59	34.0	21.6–46.4
sk	1581	22.3	18.7–25.9	910	24.0	18.6–29.3	671	20.8	16.1–25.4
rizon	393	25.7	18.6-32.8	255	23.6	15.4–31.7	138	31.2	21.1-41.2
rk ns s	166	32.7	24.9-40.5	93	29.7	20.2-39.2	73	36.3	24.3-48.2
C iforni	120	32.1	22.6-41.7	75	34.9	23.4-46.3	45	‡	
Co or do	80	33.1	25.1-41.1	53	34.6	24.7-44.5	27	‡	
Connecticut	101	16.6	8.4-24.9	50	19.6	7.7–31.5	51	13.7	4.3-23.0
De w re	86	28.4	17.2–39.5	46	‡	13.4-42.0	40	‡	
District of Co um i	32	‡	3.2-23.4	14	‡		18	‡	
F orid	155	28.0	18.9–37.0	80	34.9	22.0-47.7	75	22.1	10.7-33.5
Georgi	138	20.3	12.6-28.0	72	24.7	13.1–36.4	66	17.3	7.8-26.7
H w ii	82	18.9	6.7–31.1	45	‡		37	‡	
ld ho	188	25.0	18.1–31.8	115	28.8	19.8–37.9	73	20.0	10.7-29.3
I inois	116	25.2	17.2–33.2	67	29.2	78.5–39.8	49	‡	
Indi n	119	34.1	24.4-43.8	63	37.3	24.6-49.9	56	29.9	15.7-44.1
low	39	‡		25	‡		14	‡	
K ns s	137	19.0	11.9–26.1	80	22.5	12.2-32.9	57	15.1	6.5-23.7
Kentucky	99	37.6	25.6-49.7	36	‡		63	34.2	23.0-45.4
Louisi n	149	29.1	21.5-36.7	96	31.4	21.1-41.6	53	22.4	11.4–33.5
M ine	89	26.6	16.9–36.3	50	29.8	16.8–42.8	39	‡	
M ry nd	101	20.9	10.2–31.5	52	16.2	6.4-26.0	49	‡	
M ss chusetts	148	32.1	21.8-42.4	89	33.9	22.8-44.9	59	28.3	13.5-43.0
Michig n	102	20.0	11.4–28.5	55	24.5	11.9–37.2	47	‡	
Minnesot	85	29.8	20.1-39.5	49	‡		36	‡	
Mississippi	61	38.7	25.3-52.1	40	‡		21	‡	
Missouri	159	29.1	20.3-37.8	77	28.4	17.8–38.9	82	28.6	17.3-40.0
Mont n	1089	28.3	24.3-32.2	659	30.4	25.1–35.7	430	25.8	20.4-31.2
Ne r sk	74	19.7	9.3-30.0	45	‡		29	‡	
Nev d	132	36.6	25.9-47.2	68	39.9	26.4-53.5	64	25.8	12.5-39.1
New H mpshire	126	23.4	15.7–31.1	58	33.4	21.7–45.2	68	17.2	7.3–27.1
New Jersey	129	14.6	5.7-23.6	73	11.1	3.0-19.1	56	17.1	3.9-30.4
New Mexico	551	16.6	12.9–20.4	314	21.7	16.4–27.0	237	11.9	7.0-16.8

Note: To comp re these prev nces with those for the tot U.S. popu tion, see ppendix .

Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001–2003*

	Tota	l Population	1		Women		Men		
State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
New York	107	31.6	21.2–42.0	66	26.9	15.7–38.1	41	‡	
North C ro in	481	28.1	21.9-34.4	305	28.8	21.5-36.0	176	27.4	17.7–37.1
North D kot	250	29.9	22.7-37.1	156	31.8	23.0-40.6	94	28.2	16.4-39.9
Ohio	98	26.3	16.3–36.3	46	‡		52	21.7	10.3–33.1
Ok hom	1370	24.1	21.6–26.6	858	26.3	23.1-29.6	512	21.7	17.7–25.6
Oregon	163	26.4	19.5–33.3	89	23.4	14.6-32.3	74	27.8	17.6–38.0
Pennsy v ni	98	27.5	17.0–38.1	48	‡		50	28.4	14.9-41.8
Rhode Is nd	99	15.9	8.7–23.1	53	18.8	8.2-29.4	46	‡	
South C ro in	121	25.1	16.3-34.0	63	19.9	9.4-30.4	58	27.0	15.1-39.0
South D kot	667	22.7	18.7–26.6	423	24.1	19.4–28.7	244	21.1	14.9–27.2
Tennessee	56	25.1	15.9–34.3	27	‡		29	‡	
Tex s	164	26.4	19.0–33.7	95	29.0	18.9–39.1	69	21.4	11.1–31.6
Ut h	89	28.5	16.3-40.6	45	‡		44	‡	
Vermont	119	31.6	22.1-41.2	48	‡		71	37.5	25.0-50.0
Virgini	101	21.1	12.8–29.4	47	‡		54	23.9	13.7-34.1
W shington	477	22.6	16.8–28.4	256	27.2	19.1–35.2	221	19.8	12.0-27.6
West Virgini	76	42.7	31.1–54.2	36	‡		40	‡	
Wisconsin	144	22.6	14.4-30.9	76	21.7	10.8-32.7	68	23.3	11.0-35.6
Wyoming	144	19.8	12.6–26.9	85	26.4	16.7–36.1	59	8.7	1.9–15.5
United States	11569	26.2	24.4–28.1	6685	28.0	25.5–30.5	4884	24.3	21.7–27.0
Region [§]	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.	Respondents	%	95% C.I.
E st	3398	26.6	23.9–29.3	2032	28.5	25.0–32.1	1366	24.4	20.6–28.3
Northern P ins	2713	24.8	21.3–28.2	1636	26	21.5-30.6	1077	23.8	18.9–28.7
Southwest	1245	25.6	21.6–29.5	735	26.6	21.5–31.8	510	23.7	18.3–29.2
P cific Co st	948	29.2	22.4–36.0	535	32.2	23.7–40.7	413	25.3	15.4–35.2
sk	1581	22.3	18.7–25.9	910	24	18.6–29.3	671	20.8	16.1–25.4

^{*} D t re sed on peop e who nswered "poor" to the fo owing BRFSS question: "Wou d you s y th t in gener your he th is exce ent, very good, good, f ir, or poor?" D t re for duts ≥18 ye rs, re ge- djusted to the 2000 U.S. popu tion, nd re weighted for the pro i ity of s mp ing.

[†] Confidence interv .

[‡] Estim tes for st tes with <50 respondents re considered unst e nd re not reported.

[§] The Indi n He th Service (IHS) provides services to meric n Indi ns nd sk N tives in 35 st tes. On y these 35 st tes were used for the region estim tes. Regions re defined s fo ows: E st = m, Connecticut, F orid, Louisi n, M ine, M ss chusetts, Mississippi, New Jersey, New York, Pennsy v ni, Rhode Is nd, South C ro in, Tex s, Ok hom, nd K ns s. Northern P ins = Indi n, Iow, Michig n, Minnesot, Mont n, Ne r sk, North D kot, South D kot, Wisconsin, nd Wyoming. Southwest = rizon, Co or do, Nev d, New Mexico, nd Ut h. P cific Co st = C iforni, Id ho, Oregon, nd W shington. sk = sk. These region definitions were first used in CDC's Health Behaviors of American Indians and Alaska Natives: Findings from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System, 1993–1996.

lack Kurtz/The Image Worl

Risk Factors for Heart Disease and Stroke Among the Total U.S. Population, by State

Table A-1. Prevalence of Self-Reported High Blood Pressure Among the Total U.S. Population, by State, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001 and 2003 Combined*

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
m	6072	31.8	30.5–33.0
sk	5470	23.7	22.1–25.3
rizon	6400	22.8	21.4–24.2
rk ns s	7075	28.8	27.7–29.9
C iforni	8712	24.1	23.1–25.1
Co or do	6048	21.6	20.5-22.7
Connecticut	12799	22.9	22.2-23.7
De w re	7487	27.1	25.9-28.3
District of Co um i	3834	28.1	26.5-29.7
F orid	9562	25.2	24.1-26.3
Georgi	12052	28.7	27.7-29.7
H w ii	8751	23.0	22.0-24.0
ld ho	9740	23.9	23.0-24.8
I inois	7411	24.7	23.7-25.7
Indi n	9394	26.0	25.1-26.9
low	8564	23.8	22.9-24.8
K ns s	9109	23.1	22.2-23.9
Kentucky	15073	29.5	28.5-30.5
Louisi n	9978	28.7	27.8-29.7
M ine	4750	24.3	23.1-25.5
M ry nd	8739	25.8	24.7-26.8
M ss chusetts	15883	22.8	22.0-23.5
Michig n	7310	26.8	25.7–27.9
Minnesot	7829	22.0	21.2-22.9
Mississippi	7393	32.8	31.6-33.9
Missouri	8357	26.1	25.0-27.3

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
Mont n	7321	22.9	21.7–24.0
Ne r sk	8601	22.2	21.4-23.1
Nev d	5519	24.4	22.9-26.0
New H mpshire	8959	22.6	21.7–23.5
New Jersey	17000	24.9	24.1–25.6
New Mexico	9067	20.6	19.7–21.5
New York	9277	25.1	24.2-26.1
North C ro in	15513	27.7	26.7–28.7
North D kot	5468	23.2	22.1–24.3
Ohio	7149	25.6	24.5–26.8
Ok hom	12091	27.5	26.6-28.4
Oregon	6493	23.6	22.6-24.7
Pennsy v ni	7264	25.6	24.5–26.6
Rhode Is nd	8035	26.2	25.2–27.2
South C ro in	8996	28.7	27.7–29.7
South D kot	10285	23.4	22.6-24.2
Tennessee	5481	29.4	28.1–30.7
Tex s	11851	26.2	25.4-27.0
Ut h	7654	22.9	21.8–24.1
Vermont	8465	21.8	21.0-22.7
Virgini	8274	25.4	24.3-26.4
W shington	22709	24.1	23.4-24.9
West Virgini	6403	30.9	29.8–32.1
Wisconsin	7348	23.5	22.5–24.5
Wyoming	6983	22.9	21.9–23.9
United States	455998	25.5	25.3–25.7

^{*} D t re sed on "yes" responses to the following BRFSS question: "H velou ever een to dig doctor, nurse, or other he thip profession that you have high lood pressure?" Dit refor duits ≥18 years, reage-djusted to the 2000 U.S. population, and reweighted for the prolity of simple into the 2000 U.S. population, and reweighted for the prolity of simple into the 2000 U.S. population.

[†] Confidence interv .

Table A-2. Prevalence of Self-Reported High Cholesterol Among the Total U.S. Population, by State, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001 and 2003 Combined*

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
m	4766	31.1	29.5–32.6
sk	3953	26.7	24.8-28.6
rizon	4957	28.9	27.3-30.6
rk ns s	5390	28.1	26.8-29.4
C iforni	6801	29.7	28.5-31.0
Co or do	4743	28.2	26.8-29.7
Connecticut	10727	27.3	26.4-28.3
De w re	6236	29.9	28.5-31.3
District of Co um i	3241	28.3	26.5-30.1
F orid	7816	28.7	27.4-30.0
Georgi	9652	31.1	29.9-32.3
H w ii	6778	23.6	22.3-24.9
ld ho	7146	27.1	25.9-28.2
I inois	5718	29.4	28.1-30.8
Indi n	7292	29.0	27.9-30.1
low	6769	26.8	25.7-28.0
K ns s	6947	26.3	25.2-27.4
Kentucky	11549	30.5	29.3-31.8
Louisi n	7507	27.0	25.9-28.1
M ine	3962	28.5	26.9-30.0
M ry nd	7348	30.5	29.1–31.8
M ss chusetts	13335	28.7	27.8-29.6
Michig n	5989	32.4	31.1–33.8
Minnesot	6399	27.6	26.5-28.8
Mississippi	5531	29.3	28.0-35.1
Missouri	6404	28.7	27.3-30.2

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†
Mont n	5543	25.2	23.7–26.6
Ne r sk	6411	25.8	24.5-27.0
Nev d	4140	33.0	31.0-35.1
New H mpshire	7526	29.7	28.6-30.9
New Jersey	14235	29.2	28.3-30.2
New Mexico	6799	23.1	22.0-24.2
New York	7590	30.1	28.9-31.3
North C ro in	12524	28.9	27.7-30.0
North D kot	4211	26.9	25.5–28.2
Ohio	5579	29.9	28.8-31.4
Ok hom	9304	27.6	26.5–28.7
Oregon	5002	28.6	27.2–29.9
Pennsy v ni	5798	29.6	28.4-30.9
Rhode Is nd	6836	30.9	29.6-32.2
South C ro in	7410	28.2	27.1–29.4
South D kot	7911	26.1	25.1–27.2
Tennessee	4198	28.7	27.2-30.2
Tex s	9011	30.4	29.4-31.4
Ut h	5558	26.8	25.4-28.5
Vermont	7025	27.4	26.3-28.5
Virgini	6801	29.5	28.2-30.8
W shington	17950	28.2	27.3-29.1
West Virgini	5168	33.2	31.8–34.7
Wisconsin	5827	27.5	26.3-28.7
Wyoming	5528	29.5	28.2-30.8
United States	360841	29.3	29.1–29.6

^{*} D t re sed on "yes" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "H ve you ever een to d y doctor or other he th profession th t your ood cho estero is high?" D t re for du ts ≥18 ye rs, re ge- djusted to the 2000 U.S. popu tion, nd re weighted for the pro i ity of s mp ing.

[†] Confidence interv .

Table A-3. Prevalence of Self-Reported Cholesterol Screening Among the Total U.S. Population, by State, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001 and 2003 Combined*

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
m	5919	73.0	71.7–74.2
sk	5268	68.9	67.2-70.6
rizon	6254	71.1	69.4-72.7
rk ns s	6826	69.6	68.4–70.9
C iforni	8581	71.5	70.4–72.6
Co or do	5885	71.5	70.2–72.8
Connecticut	12493	78.5	77.6–79.4
De w re	7347	77.8	76.5–79.0
District of Co um i	3744	81.2	79.6-82.7
F orid	9356	77.0	75.7–78.2
Georgi	11602	75.5	74.4–76.5
H w ii	8599	73.3	72.1–74.5
ld ho	9412	66.5	65.4–67.5
I inois	7249	70.5	69.3-71.7
Indi n	9131	71.7	70.7–72.7
low	8308	70.0	68.9–71.2
K ns s	8821	70.3	69.3–71.4
Kentucky	14483	72.7	71.6–73.8
Louisi n	9634	72.3	71.3–73.3
M ine	4610	75.5	74.0–76.9
M ry nd	8529	78.6	77.5–79.7
M ss chusetts	15466	80.9	80.1-81.6
Michig n	7107	74.1	72.9–75.2
Minnesot	7549	75.6	74.6–76.7
Mississippi	7059	70.6	69.4–71.8
Missouri	8114	70.9	69.6-72.2

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
Mont n	7136	68.2	66.8–69.7
Ne r sk	8353	66.7	65.6-67.9
Nev d	5347	69.3	67.6-71.0
New H mpshire	8740	77.5	76.5–78.5
New Jersey	16633	77.9	77.1–78.8
New Mexico	8865	67.9	66.8-69.1
New York	9048	76.2	75.1–77.3
North C ro in	15074	74.7	73.5–75.8
North D kot	5323	69.8	68.5–71.1
Ohio	6951	72.0	70.8–73.3
Ok hom	11637	70.6	69.6–71.7
Oregon	6283	68.4	67.1-69.6
Pennsy v ni	7070	73.7	72.5–74.8
Rhode Is nd	7829	80.7	79.5–81.8
South C ro in	8768	77.8	76.7–78.9
South D kot	9994	68.7	67.7-69.6
Tennessee	5320	72.0	70.6–73.4
Tex s	11565	70.3	69.4-71.2
Ut h	7391	69.2	68.0-70.5
Vermont	8241	75.4	74.4–76.5
Virgini	8065	76.2	75.0–77.5
W shington	22014	71.3	70.5–72.1
West Virgini	6208	74.2	73.0–75.5
Wisconsin	7206	72.5	71.3–73.7
Wyoming	6829	72.1	70.9–73.2
United States	443236	73.3	73.1–73.6

^{*} D t re sed on "yes" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "H ve you ever h d your ood cho estero checked?" D t re for duts ≥18 ye rs, re ge- djusted to the 2000 U.S. popu tion, nd re weighted for the pro i ity of s mp ing.

[†] Confidence interv .

Table A-4. Prevalence of Self-Reported Diabetes Among the Total U.S. Population, by State, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001–2003*

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
m	9149	8.8	8.2-9.4
sk	8152	5.1	4.3–5.8
rizon	9600	6.2	5.6-6.9
rk ns s	10947	7.3	6.8–7.9
C iforni	12924	7.4	6.8–8.0
Co or do	10075	4.9	4.4-5.4
Connecticut	18273	5.7	5.3-6.1
De w re	11483	7.1	6.5–7.7
District of Co um i	6196	8.5	7.7–9.4
F orid	15632	7.3	6.8–7.8
Georgi	17057	7.7	7.3-8.2
H w ii	14688	6.3	5.7-6.8
ld ho	14755	6.0	5.6-6.4
I inois	14481	7.0	6.5–7.4
Indi n	15129	7.2	6.8–7.6
low	12210	5.9	5.4-6.3
K ns s	13682	5.9	5.5-6.3
Kentucky	22083	7.3	6.9–7.8
Louisi n	14976	7.9	7.4-8.4
M ine	7172	6.7	6.1–7.3
M ry nd	13081	7.0	6.4–7.5
M ss chusetts	23228	5.7	5.3-6.0
Michig n	13203	7.6	7.1–8.1
Minnesot	12319	4.9	4.5-5.3
Mississippi	11446	9.7	9.1-10.3
Missouri	13054	6.7	6.1–7.2

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
Mont n	11318	5.2	4.8–5.7
Ne r sk	12962	5.6	5.2-6.0
Nev d	8673	6.1	5.4-6.8
New H mpshire	13924	5.7	5.3-6.1
New Jersey	23087	6.6	6.1–7.0
New Mexico	13718	6.0	5.6-6.4
New York	13692	7.0	5.3-6.0
North C ro in	22182	7.4	7.1–8.1
North D kot	8450	5.5	4.5-8.3
Ohio	11194	7.7	9.1-10.3
Ok hom	18836	7.0	6.6-7.4
Oregon	9553	5.9	5.4-6.4
Pennsy v ni	20623	7.0	6.5–7.4
Rhode Is nd	11823	6.0	5.6-6.5
South C ro in	13468	8.6	8.0-9.1
South D kot	15055	6.2	5.8-6.6
Tennessee	8660	8.4	7.8-9.1
Tex s	17909	7.9	7.4-8.3
Ut h	11723	5.5	4.9-6.0
Vermont	12675	5.5	5.0-5.9
Virgini	12641	6.6	6.1–7.1
W shington	27627	6.1	5.7-6.5
West Virgini	9738	8.8	8.2-9.4
Wisconsin	11683	5.4	4.9-5.8
Wyoming	10507	5.3	22.6-25.5
United States	696716	7.0	6.9–7.1

^{*} D t re sed on "yes" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "H ve you ever een to d y doctor th t you h ve di etes?" D t re for du ts ≥18 ye rs, re ge- djusted to the 2000 U.S. popu tion, nd re weighted for the pro i ity of s mp ing.

[†] Confidence interv .

Table A-5. Prevalence of Self-Reported Cigarette Smoking Among the Total U.S. Population, by State, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001–2003*

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
m	9146	24.7	23.7–25.8
sk	8142	26.2	24.7–27.6
rizon	9597	22.2	20.8–23.5
rk ns s	10928	26.1	25.1–27.1
C iforni	12910	16.6	15.8–17.4
Co or do	10061	20.0	19.1–21.0
Connecticut	18236	20.1	19.3–20.8
De w re	11476	24.1	22.9-25.2
District of Co um i	6184	21.2	19.9–22.5
F orid	15605	24.1	23.1-25.0
Georgi	17016	22.8	22.0-23.7
H w ii	14710	19.7	18.9–20.6
ld ho	14730	19.7	18.9–20.5
I inois	14457	23.2	22.4-24.0
Indi n	15122	27.2	26.4-28.0
low	12192	22.9	22.0-23.8
K ns s	13677	21.7	20.9–22.5
Kentucky	22055	31.6	30.6-32.5
Louisi n	14929	25.0	24.2-25.8
M ine	7164	24.4	23.2-25.5
M ry nd	13046	20.9	20.0-21.9
M ss chusetts	23178	19.4	18.8-20.1
Michig n	13194	25.4	24.5–26.3
Minnesot	12295	21.6	20.8-22.5
Mississippi	11419	26.1	25.1–27.0
Missouri	13027	27.0	25.9-28.1

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†
Mont n	11299	21.2	20.2–22.3
Ne r sk	12948	21.7	20.8-22.6
Nev d	8659	25.8	24.5-27.2
New H mpshire	13902	22.9	22.1-23.7
New Jersey	23030	20.1	19.2–21.1
New Mexico	13689	22.3	21.4-23.1
New York	13650	22.6	21.8-23.5
North C ro in	22119	25.6	24.7-26.5
North D kot	8438	21.8	20.8-22.8
Ohio	11174	26.8	25.8-27.8
Ok hom	18822	27.1	26.3-28.0
Oregon	9535	21.5	20.5-22.5
Pennsy v ni	20593	25.6	24.8-26.5
Rhode Is nd	11797	23.3	22.4-24.2
South C ro in	13441	26.0	25.1-27.0
South D kot	15035	22.9	22.1-23.7
Tennessee	8654	25.9	24.8-27.0
Tex s	17882	22.2	21.4-22.9
Ut h	11711	12.4	11.7–13.2
Vermont	12649	21.2	20.4-22.0
Virgini	12615	22.8	21.8-23.8
W shington	27557	21.1	20.3-21.8
West Virgini	9729	29.0	28.0-30.1
Wisconsin	11676	23.2	22.3-24.2
Wyoming	10495	23.5	22.6-24.5
United States	695595	22.7	22.5–22.9

^{*} D t re sed on "yes" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "H ve you smoked t e st 100 cig rettes in your entire ife?" Respondents who nswered "yes" were then sked, "Do you now smoke every d y, some d ys, or not t ?" Peop e who reported smoking t e st 100 cig rettes in their ifetime nd smoking now every d y or some d ys were defined s current smokers. D t re for duts ≥18 ye rs, re ge-djusted to the 2000 U.S. popu tion, nd re weighted for the pro i ity of s mp ing.

[†] Confidence interv .

Table A-6. Prevalence of Self-Reported Obesity Among the Total U.S. Population, by State, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001–2003*

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
m	8838	26.4	25.3-27.4
sk	7891	23.3	21.9-24.7
rizon	9162	19.6	18.4–20.8
rk ns s	10543	24.0	21.1–25.0
C iforni	12517	21.5	20.6-22.3
Co or do	9723	15.8	14.9–16.6
Connecticut	17266	18.2	17.5–18.9
De w re	10901	22.4	21.4-23.4
District of Co um i	5941	21.0	19.6–22.4
F orid	14847	19.2	18.4-20.1
Georgi	16328	23.8	22.9-24.7
H w ii	14312	17.2	16.3–18.1
ld ho	14094	21.0	20.2–21.8
I inois	13701	22.2	21.3-23.0
Indi n	14546	25.0	24.2-25.8
low	11679	23.3	22.4-24.2
K ns s	12994	22.6	21.8-23.4
Kentucky	20933	24.9	24.0-25.8
Louisi n	14250	25.1	24.3-25.9
M ine	6824	19.8	18.8–20.9
M ry nd	12516	20.3	19.4–21.2
M ss chusetts	21917	17.2	16.6–17.8
Michig n	12791	25.1	24.2-26.0
Minnesot	11951	21.7	20.9-22.5
Mississippi	10928	27.5	26.5-28.5
Missouri	12562	23.5	22.5-24.5

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
Mont n	10856	18.8	17.8–19.8
Ne r sk	12287	22.7	21.8–23.6
Nev d	8247	20.5	19.2–21.9
New H mpshire	13221	19.1	18.3–19.8
New Jersey	21829	19.4	18.5–20.3
New Mexico	13174	20.0	19.1–20.8
New York	13028	20.6	19.8–21.4
North C ro in	20937	23.5	22.6-24.4
North D kot	8114	22.8	21.8-23.8
Ohio	10604	23.4	22.4-24.4
Ok hom	17911	23.5	22.8-24.3
Oregon	9124	20.8	19.9–21.8
Pennsy v ni	19791	23.1	22.3-23.9
Rhode Is nd	11198	18.3	17.5–19.2
South C ro in	12942	24.4	23.5-25.4
South D kot	14435	22.0	21.2-22.7
Tennessee	8231	24.3	23.2-25.3
Tex s	16944	25.0	24.2-25.7
Ut h	11341	20.1	19.1–21.1
Vermont	12189	18.6	17.8-19.4
Virgini	12131	22.0	21.1-23.0
W shington	26365	20.6	19.9–21.3
West Virgini	9350	27.0	26.0-28.0
Wisconsin	11275	21.6	20.7–22.5
Wyoming	10223	19.8	18.9–20.6
United States	665702	22.1	21.9–22.3

^{*} D t re sed on se f-reported height in dweight from the BRFSS, which were used to c cu te ody m ss index (BMI) using the fo owing formu: {[Weight in s. x 0.4536]/[(height in inches x 0.2540)2]} x 100. BMI ≥30.0 was considered obese. Data are for adu ts ≥18 years, are age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. population, and are weighted for the probability of sampling.

[†] Confidence interva.

Table A-7. Prevalence of Self-Reported Physical Inactivity Among the Total U.S. Population, by State, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001–2003*

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
A abama	9154	29.3	28.3-30.4
A aska	8156	21.9	20.5-23.3
Arizona	9604	21.8	20.6-23.1
Arkansas	10945	29.0	28.0-30.0
Ca ifornia	12673	23.9	23.0-24.9
Co orado	10075	18.7	17.8–19.7
Connecticut	18286	22.1	21.4–22.9
De aware	11492	26.4	25.3-27.4
District of Co umbia	6196	22.8	21.5–24.2
F orida	15639	27.2	26.2-28.2
Georgia	17064	26.3	25.4-27.2
Hawaii	14722	17.7	16.9–18.5
Idaho	14752	19.8	19.0–20.5
I inois	14484	27.0	26.1–27.9
Indiana	15135	26.7	225.9–27.5
lowa	12213	22.9	22.1-23.8
Kansas	13697	24.9	24.1–25.7
Kentucky	22116	30.2	29.3-31.0
Louisiana	14978	33.3	32.5–34.2
Maine	7174	22.7	21.6-23.7
Mary and	13090	23.0	22.0-23.9
Massachusetts	23248	21.7	21.0-22.3
Michigan	13213	23.0	22.1–23.9
Minnesota	12333	16.1	15.4-16.9
Mississippi	11457	32.2	31.2–33.2
Missouri	13054	25.8	24.8-26.8

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I.†
Montana	11320	20.1	19.1–21.1
Nebraska	12966	24.4	23.5-25.3
Nevada	8677	24.2	22.8-25.6
New Hampshire	13938	19.8	19.0–20.6
New Jersey	23090	26.3	25.3-7.3
New Mexico	13721	24.1	23.2-24.9
New York	13686	26.9	26.0-27.8
North Caro ina	22202	27.0	26.1–27.9
North Dakota	8448	22.7	21.7–23.7
Ohio	11202	25.8	24.8-26.8
Ok ahoma	18852	31.1	30.3-32.0
Oregon	9554	19.0	18.1–19.9
Pennsy vania	20618	23.3	22.5-24.1
Rhode Is and	11834	24.0	23.1-24.9
South Caro ina	13465	24.8	23.9–25.7
South Dakota	15051	23.2	22.4-24.0
Tennessee	8673	32.7	31.5–33.8
Texas	17920	28.3	27.5-29.1
Utah	11719	18.6	17.7–19.5
Vermont	12676	19.0	18.3–19.8
Virginia	12642	23.4	22.5-24.3
Washington	27635	16.7	16.1–17.4
West Virginia	9743	28.9	27.9–29.9
Wisconsin	11690	19.7	18.9–20.6
Wyoming	10515	21.0	20.1–21.8
United States	696787	25.1	24.9–25.3

^{*} Data are based on "no" responses to the fo owing BRFSS question: "During the past month, other than your regular job, did you participate in any physical activities or exercise such as running, calisthenics, go f, gardening, or waking for exercise?" Data are for adults ≥18 years, are age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. population, and are weighted for the probability of sampling.

[†] Confidence interva.

Table A-8. Prevalence of Self-Reported Poor Health Among the Total U.S. Population, by State, Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS), 2001–2003*

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
A abama	9136	20.0	19.2–20.9
A aska	8151	13.3	12.2-14.4
Arizona	9555	15.6	14.5–16.7
Arkansas	10928	18.8	18.0–18.6
Ca ifornia	12925	15.9	15.1–16.7
Co orado	10062	13.0	12.2-13.8
Connecticut	18241	11.8	11.2–12.4
De aware	11483	13.9	13.0-14.7
District of Co umbia	6181	12.7	11.7–13.7
F orida	15550	15.4	14.6-16.2
Georgia	17021	16.7	16.0–17.4
Hawaii	14710	11.8	11.1–12.5
Idaho	14732	13.5	12.9–14.1
I inois	14471	14.6	13.9–15.2
Indiana	15111	15.7	15.0-16.3
lowa	12185	11.1	10.4-11.7
Kansas	13666	12.5	11.9–13.1
Kentucky	22069	22.6	21.8-23.3
Louisiana	14910	17.2	16.5–17.9
Maine	7151	13.6	12.7-14.4
Mary and	13063	12.7	12.0-13.5
Massachusetts	23177	12.5	11.9-13.0
Michigan	13197	14.3	13.6–15.0
Minnesota	12309	11.1	10.5–11.7
Mississippi	11414	23.2	22.4-24.1
Missouri	13030	16.3	15.5–17.1

State	Respondents	%	95% C.I. [†]
Montana	11299	12.7	11.9–13.4
Nebraska	12949	12.8	12.2-13.4
Nevada	8677	16.2	15.0-17.4
New Hampshire	13903	10.6	10.1–11.2
New Jersey	23005	14.8	14.0-15.6
New Mexico	13704	17.0	16.3-17.8
New York	13619	16.4	15.6–17.2
North Caro ina	22147	18.9	18.1–197
North Dakota	8419	12.7	11.9–13.4
Ohio	11179	13.7	13.0-14.5
Ok ahoma	18801	18.0	17.4–18.6
Oregon	9541	15.5	14.7–16.4
Pennsy vania	20594	14.2	13.5–14.8
Rhode Is and	11813	14.0	13.3–14.7
South Caro ina	13380	16.5	15.8–17.3
South Dakota	15030	12.3	11.7–12.9
Tennessee	8667	19.4	18.5–20.3
Texas	17885	20.5	19.9–21.2
Utah	11712	11.6	10.9–12.4
Vermont	12651	10.9	10.3–11.4
Virginia	12621	13.6	12.8-14.3
Washington	27604	13.2	12.7-13.8
West Virginia	9712	23.1	22.2-24.0
Wisconsin	11654	11.5	10.8–12.2
Wyoming	10482	11.9	11.2–12.5
United States	695476	15.7	15.5–15.9

^{*} Data are based on peop e who answered "poor" to the fo owing BRFSS question: "Wou d you say that in genera your hea th is exceent, very good, good, fair, or poor?" Data are for adu ts ≥18 years, are age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. popu ation, and are weighted for the probability of samping.

[†] Confidence interva.

∂Bob Daemmich/The Image Work

B Methodological and Technical Notes

County Definitions

We used Federal Information Processing Standard (FIPS)¹ codes to link county definitions across multiple data sets in this atlas. To ensure accurate linking of counties across the data sets, the following modifications were made:

Independent Cities

The following independent cities were retained in the geographic database as discrete entities separate from adjacent counties.

Independent City	State	Original FIPS Code	Modified FIPS Code
Ba timore	Mary and	24510	24007
St. Louis	Missouri	29510	29191
Carson City	Nevada	32510	32025
Suffo k	Virginia	51800	51123

Alaska

Original County	Original County FIPS Code	Incorporated into Adjacent County	Modified FIPS Code
A eutian Is ands East	2013	A eutian Is ands	2010
A eutian Is ands West	2016	A eutian Is ands	2010
Dena i Borough	2068	Yukon-Koyukuk	2290
Kobuk	2140	Yukon-Koyukuk	2290
Skagway-Hoonah-Angoon	2232	Skagway-Yakutat-Angoon	2231
Yakutat	2282	Skagway-Yakutat-Angoon	2231

Arizona

Original County	Original County FIPS Code	Incorporated into Adjacent County	Modified FIPS Code
Yuma	4027	LaPaz	4012

Hawaii

Original	Original County	Incorporated into	Modified FIPS Code
County	FIPS Code	Adjacent County	
Ka awao	15005	Maui	15009

Virginia

Virginia has 34 independent cities. We used the 1996 Area Resource File database² to incorporate data from these cities into their adjacent counties, which is standard practice.

Independent City	Independent City FIPS Code	Incorporated into Adjacent County	Modified FIPS Code
Bedford	51515	Bedford	51019
Bristo	51520	Washington	51191
Buena Vista	51530	Rockbridge	51163
Char ottesvi e	51540	A bemar e	51003
C ifton Forge	51560	A egheny	51005
Co onia Heights	51570	Chesterfie d	51041
Covington	51580	A egheny	51005
Danvi e	51590	Pittsy vania	51143
Emporia	51595	Greensvi e	51081
Fairfax	51600	Fairfax	51059
Fa s Church	51610	Fairfax	51059
Frank in	51620	South Hampton	51175
Fredericksburg	51630	Spotsy vania	51177
Ga ax	51640	Grayson	51077
Harrisonburg	51660	Rockingham	51165
Hopewe	51670	Prince George	51149
Lexington	51678	Rockbridge	51163
Lynchburg	51680	Campbe	51031
Manassas	51683	Prince Wi iam	51153
Manassas Park	51685	Prince Wi iam	51153
Martinsvi e	51690	Henry	51089

Continued on next page

Independent City	Independent City FIPS Code	Incorporated into Adjacent County	Modified FIPS Code
Norfo k	51710	Norfo k	51129
Petersburg	51730	Dinwiddie	51053
Portsmouth	51740	Norfo k	51129
Radford	51750	Montgomery	51121
Richmond	51760	Henrico	51087
Roanoke	51770	Roanoke	51161
Sa em	51775	Roanoke	51161
South Boston	51780	Ha ifax	51083
Staunton	51790	Augusta	51015
Waynesboro	51820	Augusta	51015
Wi iamsburg	51830	James City	51095
Winchester	51840	Frederick	51069

Yellowstone National Park

Original County	Original County FIPS Code	Incorporated into Adjacent County	Modified FIPS Code
Ye owstone Nationa			
Park (Part), Montana	30113	Park	30067

Data Sources

Heart Disease and Stroke Mortality Data

We obtained death certificate data through the National Center for Health Statistics' National Vital Statistics System, which is a compilation of statistics from all death certificates filed in the 50 states and the District of Columbia.³ Heart disease deaths were defined as those for which the underlying cause of death listed on the death certificate was diseases of the heart, defined according to the *International Classification of Diseases (ICD-9* codes 390–398, 402, and 404–429; *ICD-10* codes 100–109, 111, 113, 120–151).^{4,5} Stroke deaths were defined as those for

which the underlying cause of death listed on the death certificate was cerebrovascular disease (*ICD-9-CM* codes 430–438).⁴ For each decedent, underlying cause of death, age, race, ethnicity, gender, and county of residence at the time of death were abstracted from computerized death certificate files.

Population Data

For heart disease mortality rates during 1996–2000, we used postcensal population estimates for 1996–1999 and a special "bridged-race" version of the 2000 census population estimates that allowed us to aggregate the data across 1996–2000. CDC's National Center for Health Statistics has produced bridged-race versions of 2000 census data to allow comparisons between these data and earlier reports, which used fewer race/ethnicity categories (see the **Definition of American Indians and Alaska Natives** section on pages 64–65 of this appendix for a discussion of race/ethnicity categories used for federal data collection).⁶ For stroke mortality rates during 1991–1998, we used postcensal estimates calculated by the U.S. Bureau of the Census through extrapolation of linear trends in population growth and intercounty migration patterns between the 1980 and 1990 censuses.

Map Projections

We used several different map projections to produce the county-level maps in this publication. For the contiguous United States, an Albers Conic Equal Area projection was used. For Alaska, the Miller's Cylindrical projection was used. For the Hawaii map, we used geographic coordinates (latitude and longitude). Neither Alaska nor Hawaii is in proper geographic scale relative to the continental United States on the national maps. The combination of different projections and scales allowed for presentation of a relatively familiar orientation of these geographic features.

The coordinate information for the contiguous 48 states was projected using the Albers Conic Equal Area projection with the following parameters:

Spheroid: Clarke 1866 1st Standard Parallel: 29.500 False Easting: 0.000

Reference Latitude: 37.500

Central Meridian: -96.000 2nd Standard Parallel: 45.500 False Northing: 0.000 The coordinate information for Alaska used the Miller's Cylindrical projection with the following parameters:

Spheroid: Sphere Central Meridian: 0.000

Definition of American Indians and Alaska Natives

The definition for American Indian and Alaska Native (AI/AN) people used in this publication is based on the definition established in 1977 by Directive 15 of the Office of Management and Budget (OMB), which is the federal agency that defines standards for government publications. The categories are not based on biological or anthropological concepts. OMB developed categories for racial and ethnic groups in response to the need for standardized data for record keeping and data collection and presentation by federal agencies (e.g., to conduct federal surveys, collect decennial census data, and monitor civil rights laws).

In 1997, OMB issued new race and ethnicity categories following criticism that the categories did not reflect the country's increasing diversity. All federal agencies were instructed to begin collecting and analyzing data using the new categories no later than January 1, 2003. However, the census and vital statistics data used in this publication were collected before the 1997 directive was implemented. Consequently, the racial and ethnic categories analyzed here are consistent with the 1977 directive.

The 1977 definition for American Indian or Alaska Native is as follows: A person having origins in any of the original peoples of North America and who maintains tribal affiliation or community attachment.

Spatial Geometry

The geographic database used for the county-level maps in this publication came from the Environmental Systems Research Institute's (ESRI) ArcUSA database, which includes spatial geometry and characteristics of all U.S. counties. ESRI modified the 1973 Digital Line Graph source data produced by the U.S. Geological Survey to update county boundaries through 1988. The geographic scale of the spatial geometry (i.e., linework) used is 1:2 million, which is sufficient to identify major county features. Mortality and population data were linked to county geography using FIPS codes.

Calculation of Spatially Smoothed and Age-Adjusted Death Rates

Rationale for Spatial Smoothing

Although county death rates provide a high degree of spatial specificity, rates in counties with small populations and few heart disease or stroke deaths can be unstable. This problem is particularly relevant when examining geographic disparities among AI/AN populations because many counties have small or nonexistent numbers of this population. We used two approaches to reduce the statistical instability of county death rates for heart disease and stroke: 1) temporal aggregation of the data (1996–2000 for heart disease, 1991–1998 for stroke) and 2) application of a statistical procedure known as spatial smoothing.

We chose to spatially smooth heart disease and stroke death rates using a spatial moving average. The number of deaths (numerators) and population counts (person-year denominators) for each county were combined with the deaths and population counts of the immediate neighboring counties (i.e., contiguous counties), and then divided to produce an average rate. We used the contiguity matrix for all U.S. counties from the 1996 Area Resource File database to identify contiguous counties and to perform spatial smoothing. Thus, a single county's heart disease or stroke mortality rate actually represents an average of the rates of that county and all of its contiguous neighbors.

Calculation of Death Rates

Spatially smoothed and age-adjusted death rates were calculated at the county level for all AI/AN people and again for AI/AN women and men separately. Heart disease and stroke deaths were obtained from the National Vital Statistics System and included all deaths for which the underlying cause of death reported on the death certificates was diseases of the heart (*ICD-9-CM* codes 390–398, 402, or 404–429: *ICD-10* codes 100–109, 111, 113, or 120–151) or cerebrovascular disease (*ICD-9-CM* codes 430–438).^{4,5} Population data were obtained from the U.S. Bureau of the Census.

For each county, deaths (numerators) and population counts (denominators) for 10-year age groups (i.e., ages 35–44, 45–54, 55–64, 65–74, 75–84, and ≥85 years) were summed across the years. County numerators and denomi-

nators were then combined with numerators and denominators of all neighboring counties. Neighboring counties were defined solely by contiguity (as opposed to distance). The combined numerators were divided by the combined denominators to produce spatially smoothed, age-specific (i.e., by 10-year age group) death rates. These spatially smoothed rates were then directly age-adjusted to the 2000 U.S. population for 10-year age groups starting at 35. These calculations were repeated separately by gender.

Two constraints were applied to the calculation of county death rates. A stroke death rate was not calculated for any county for which the total number of stroke deaths in that county plus its neighbors was fewer than 20 during 1991–1998. A heart disease death rate was not calculated for any county for which the total number of heart disease deaths in that county plus its neighbors was fewer than 20 during 1996–2000. To avoid calculating rates for counties that had no AI/AN population but whose neighboring counties had significant populations, rates were calculated only for counties with a population count of 5 or more (i.e., person-years were ≥5) during 1996–2000 for heart disease and 1991–1998 for stroke.

Unfortunately, death rates could not be adjusted to account for misreporting of AI/AN people as "white" on death certificates (see the **Introduction**, page 2, for a discussion of this issue). Although the Indian Health Service (IHS) has established a series of weights that can be used to estimate more accurate death rates for AI/AN populations, these weights are designed to be applied to IHS areas, not U.S. counties. Because the weights were calculated on the basis of deaths from all causes combined, even the adjusted heart disease and stroke death rates for AI/AN people may still be less than the true rates for this population. 10

Standard Population Weights

Because we calculated directly age-adjusted heart disease and stroke death rates for people ages 35 years and older, but not for the entire age range of the population, we had to recalculate the standard weights for the 2000 U.S. standard population. New weights for age groups 35–44 through ≥85 years were calculated using a two-step procedure. First, we calculated the sum of the original 2000 standard weights for 10-year age groups 35–44 through ≥85 years. Second, for each age group, we divided the original weight by the sum of the weights for ages ≥35

years. The resulting quotients are the new standard population weights. The weights were rounded to two decimal places and used to calculate directly age-adjusted death rates for people ages ≥35 years.

2000 U.S. Projected Standard Population Weights

Age Group (yrs)	Weight
A ages	1.000000
<1	0.013818
1	0.013687
2–4	0.041630
5	0.014186
6–8	0.042966
9	0.015380
10–11	0.030069
12–14	0.042963
15–17	0.043035
18–19	0.029133
20–24	0.066478
25–29	0.064530
30–34	0.071044
35–39	0.080762
40–44	0.081851
45–49	0.072118
50-54	0.062716
55–59	0.048454
60–64	0.038793
65–69	0.034264
70–74	0.031773
75–79	0.027000
80–84	0.017842
≥85	0.015508

2000 U.S. Projected Standard Population Weights for Age Groups ≥35 Years

Age Group (yrs)	Weight
35–44	0.32
45–54	0.26
55–64	0.17
65–74	0.13
≥85	0.03

Contiguity Matrix for Alaska

We used the contiguity matrix for all U.S. counties from the 1996 Area Resource File database to perform spatial smoothing of heart disease and stroke mortality rates for this publication. However, this database did not include information for counties in Alaska, because Alaska was considered to be a single geographic unit. Because we are interested in the geographic patterns of heart disease and stroke mortality within the state, we created the following contiguity matrix for the counties in Alaska:

FIPS Codes for Alaska's	FIPS Codes for Neighboring Counties*							
23 Counties	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
2010	2164							
2020	2170	2261	2122					
2050	2070	2270	2170	2164	2290	2122		
2060	2164	2070						
2070	2164	2060	2050					
2090	2290	2240						
2100	2231	2110						
2110	2100	2280						
2122	2020	2170	2050	2164	2150	2261		
2130	2201	2280						
2150	2122	2164						
2164	2060	2070	2050	2122	2010			
2170	2290	2240	2261	2020	2050	2122		
2180	2270	2290	2188					
2185	2188	2290						
2188	2185	2290	2180					
2201	2280	2130						
2220	2231	2280						
2231	2261	2100	2220	2110	2280			
2240	2290	2090	2170	2261				
2261	2240	2170	2020	2231	2122			
2270	2290	2050	2180					
2280	2220	2201	2231	2130				
2290	2185	2188	2270	2050	2170	2240	2090	2180

^{*} Each county can be bordered by as few as one or as many as eight neighboring counties.

Data Source

We obtained data for eight important risk factors for heart disease and stroke from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). BRFSS data are collected monthly by state departments of health through telephone interviews of noninstitutionalized adults aged 18 years or older. The states use a multistage design for stratified random sampling of the telephone numbers dialed. Complete details of the BRFSS methodology have been published elsewhere.^{11–13}

The BRFSS includes a set of core questions that are asked every year in all states, as well as a set of rotating core questions that are asked every other year. This publication presents prevalence data for the following risk factors included in the annual core questions: diabetes, cigarette smoking, obesity, physical inactivity, and poor health. From the rotating questions that are asked in odd-numbered years, it presents data on high blood pressure, high blood cholesterol, and cholesterol screening.

BRFSS core questions are available in English and Spanish. If the interviewer determines that the respondent is not proficient in either language, the interviewer does not administer the survey and notes that the interview was ended because of a language barrier.

Once the monthly state data are collected, they are sent to CDC to be edited and checked for accuracy. CDC staff members aggregate the monthly data files for each state to create annual totals. These totals are then weighted according to the respondents' probability of being sampled, given the race, age, and gender of the population from which they were selected. Weighting is based on the most current census data for each state. The prevalence of each risk factor for each state is calculated from the weighted data.

Because of the small number of AI/AN respondents in the BRFSS, we combined data for 2001–2003 to increase the precision of our estimates. Prevalence estimates for states that reported fewer than 50 AI/AN respondents were considered unreliable and are not presented in this publication.¹⁴

Telephone Coverage

A recent study indicates that about 17% of AI/AN people do not have telephones in their homes.¹⁵ This percentage is higher than that of any other U.S. racial/ethnic group. The percentages within this population vary sharply depending on where people live; only 47% of AI/AN people living on reservations have telephones compared with 75% of those who live in rural areas and 88% of those who live in urban areas.^{15,16}

Other studies have found that AI/AN people who live in households without telephones are more likely to be physically inactive and to smoke cigarettes.^{17–19} Therefore, the findings reported in this atlas are more likely to represent AI/AN people who live in urban areas and not on reservations, and they likely underestimate the prevalence of some risk factors for heart disease and stroke.

Definition of Risk Factors

For this publication, we defined eight risk factors for heart disease and stroke on the basis of specific questions from the BRFSS during 2001–2003. As of 1996, state health departments also can ask about regular aspirin use, prior history of heart disease, and prior history of stroke on their BRFSS questionaires. However, only a few states do so, and many of these states do not have large enough AI/AN populations to generate stable estimates. Therefore, data for these heart disease and stroke risk factors are not included in this atlas.

Map Projection

We combined two map projections to produce the risk factor maps in this publication. For the contiguous United States, an Albers Conic Equal Area projection was used. For Alaska, the Miller's Cylindrical projection was used. Neither Alaska nor Hawaii is in proper geographic scale relative to the continental United States on the risk factor maps. The combination of different projections and scales allowed for presentation of a relatively familiar orientation of these geographic features.

Definition of American Indians and Alaska Natives

Respondents to the BRFSS were asked to select a race of origin from the following list: White, Black or African American, Asian, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, American Indian/Alaska Native, or Other (Specify). Only those respondents selecting American Indian/Alaska Native were included in this atlas.

Spatial Geometry

The geographic database used for the risk factor maps in this publication came from the Environmental Systems Research Institute's (ESRI) ArcUSA database, which includes spatial geometry and characteristics of all U.S. counties. The geographic scale of the spatial geometry used is 1:42,874,983, which is sufficient to identify state features.

Risk Factor	Definition
High B ood Pressure	Based on "yes" responses to the fo owing question: "Have you ever been to d by a doctor, nurse, or other hea th professiona that you have high b ood pressure?"
High Cho estero	Based on "yes" responses to the fo owing question: "Have you ever been to d by a doctor or other hea th professiona that your b ood cho estero is high?"
Cho estero Screening	Based on "yes" responses to the fo owing question: "Have you ever had your b ood cho estero checked?"
Diabetes	Based on "yes" responses to the fo owing question: "Have you ever been to d by a doctor that you have diabetes?"
Cigarette Smoking	Based on "yes" responses to the fo owing question: "Have you smoked at east 100 cigarettes in your entire ife?" Respondents who answered "yes" were then asked, "Do you now smoke every day, some days, or not at a ?" Peop e who reported smoking at east 100 cigarettes in their ifetime and smoking now every day or some days were defined as current smokers.
Obesity	Based on the fo owing ca cu ation of body mass index (BMI) from se f-reported height and weight: {[weight in bs. x 0.4536]/[(height in inches x 0.2540)2]} x 100. BMI ≥30.0 was considered obese.
Physica Inactivity	Based on "no" responses to the fo owing question: "During the past month, other than your regu ar job, did you participate in any physica activities or exercise such as running, ca isthenics, go f, gardening, or wa king for exercise?"
Poor Hea th	Based on peop e who answered "poor" to the fo owing question: "Wou d you say that in genera your heath is exce ent, very good, good, fair, or poor?"

Calculation of Prevalence Estimates

Because of the complex survey methodology used to produce prevalence estimates for this publication, we used SUDAAN statistical software to calculate standard errors and 95% confidence intervals. The prevalences reported in this atlas are weighted according to the respondents' probability of being sampled, given the race, age, and gender of the state population from which they were selected. No statistical tests were performed for comparison, so the findings of this publication should be considered descriptive in nature.

- National Institute of Standards and Technology. Federal Information Processing Standards Publication 55-3: Codes for Named Populated Places, Primary County Divisions, and Other Locational Entities of the United States, Puerto Rico, and the Outlying Areas. Gaithersburg, MD: U.S. Department of Commerce; 1994. Available at http://www.itl.nist.gov/fipspubs/fip55-3.htm.
- Bureau of Health Professions. Area Resource File. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Health Resources and Services Administration; 1996. Available at http://www.arfsys.com.
- CDC. National Vital Statistics System Web site. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nvss.htm.
- U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. The International Classification of Diseases, 9th Revision, Clinical Modification. Washington, DC: Public Health Service, Health Care Financing Administration; 1980. HHS publication no. (PHS) 80-1260.
- World Health Organization. International Classification of Diseases and Related Health Problems, 10th Revision, Clinical Modification. Geneva: World Health Organization; 1992.
- CDC. National Center for Health Statistics Web site. U.S. Census Populations with Bridged Race Categories: Bridged-Race Population Estimates for April 1, 2000. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/about/major/dvs/popbridge/popbridge.htm.
- Wallman KK, Hodgdon J. Race and ethnic standards for federal statistics and administrative reporting. Statistical Reporter 1977;77(10):450–454.
- Hoyert DL, Arias E, Smith BL, Murphy SL, Kochanek KD. Deaths: final data for 1999. National Vital Statistics Reports 2001;49(8):110.
- Indian Health Service. Adjusting for Miscoding of Indian Race on State Death Certificates. Rockville, MD: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, Public Health Service: 1996.
- Rhoades DA. Racial misclassification and disparities in cardiovascular disease among American Indians and Alaska Natives. Circulation 2005;111(10):1250–6.
- CDC. Technical Information and Data: BRFSS User's Guide. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; 1998. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/technical_infodata/usersguide.htm.

- Holtzman D. Analysis and interpretation of data from the U.S. Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance System (BRFSS). In: McQueen DV, Puska P, et al. Global Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance. New York: Kluwer Academic/Plenum Publishers; 2003:35–46.
- Mokdad AH, Stroup DF, Giles WH. Public health surveillance for behavioral risk factors in a changing environment: recommendations from the Behavioral Risk Factor Surveillance Team. Morbidity and Mortality Weekly Report 2003;52(RR-9).
- CDC. 2000 BRFSS Summary Prevalence Report. Atlanta: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services; May 2001:9. Available at http://www.cdc.gov/brfss/pubrfdat. htm
- National Telecommunications and Information Administration. Falling Through the Net: Defining the Digital Divide. Washington, DC: U.S. Department of Commerce; 1999.
- Bureau of the Census. Housing of American Indians on reservations—equipment and fuels. Bureau of the Census Statistical Brief 1995. Publication no. SB/95-11.
- Pearson D, Cheadle A, Wagner E, Tonsberg R, Psaty BM. Differences in sociodemographic, health status, and lifestyle characteristics among American Indians by telephone coverage. *Preventive Medicine* 1994;23(4):461–4.
- Cheadle A, Pearson D, Wagner E, Psaty BM, Diehr P, Koepsell T. Relationship between socioeconomic status, health status, and lifestyle practices of American Indians: evidence from a Plains reservation population. *Public Health Reports* 1994;109(3):405–13.
- Peterson DE, Remington PL, Kuykendall MA, Kanarek MS, Diedrich JM, Anderson HA. Behavioral risk factors of Chippewa Indians living on Wisconsin reservations. *Public Health Reports* 1994;109(6):820–3.

=astcott-Momatiuk/The Image Wor



American Indian and Alaska Native Health Organizations

Native American Women's Health Education Resource Center PO Box 572, Lake Andes, SD 57356-0572

Phone: 605-487-7072

Web site: http://www.nativeshop.org/nawherc.html

The Native American Women's Health Education Resource Center is operated by the Native American Community Board (NACB). The NACB was formed in 1985 by a group of Native Americans living on or near the Yankton Sioux Reservation in South Dakota to address pertinent issues of health, education, land and water rights, and economic development of Native American people.

National Indian Health Board 1385 S. Colorado Blvd, Suite A707, Denver, CO 80222

Phone: 303-759-3075, Fax: 303-759-3674

Web site: http://www.nihb.org

The National Indian Health Board (NIHB) represents Tribal Governments that operate their own health care delivery systems through contracting and compacting, as well as those that receive health care directly from the Indian Health Service. The NIHB is a nonprofit organization that conducts research, policy analysis, program assessment and development, national and regional meeting planning, project management, and training and technical assistance programs. These services are provided to Tribes, Area Health Boards, Tribal organizations, federal agencies, and private foundations.

Association of American Indian Physicians 1225 Sovereign Row, Suite 103, Oklahoma City, OK 73108

Phone: 405-946-7072, Fax: 405-946-7651

Web site: http://www.aaip.com

The Association of American Indian Physicians was founded to pursue excellence in Native American health care by promoting education in the medical disciplines; honoring traditional healing practices; and restoring the balance of mind, body, and spirit. Association of Native American Medical Students 1225 Sovereign Row, C-9, Oklahoma City, OK 73108

Phone: 405-946-7072

Web site: http://www.aaip.com/anams/anams.html

The Association of Native American Medical Students was founded to provide support and a resource network for all Native Americans enrolled in the various allied health professions schools, to increase the number of Native American students in medicine and other health professions, and to promote its exposure and recognition on a national level throughout the medical community.

Indians into Medicine University of North Dakota School of Medicine and Health Science PO Box 9037, Grand Forks, ND 58202-9037 Phone: 701-777-3037, Fax: 701-777-3277

Web site: http://www.med.und.nodak.edu/depts/inmed/home.htm

Indians into Medicine addresses three major problem areas: 1) too few health professionals in American Indian communities, 2) too few American Indian health professionals, and 3) the substandard level of health and health care in American Indian communities.

Indian Health Service Headquarters
The Reyes Building
801 Thompson Avenue, Suite 440
Rockville, MD 20852-1627

Phone: 301-443-1083, Fax: 301-443-4794

Web site: http://www.ihs.gov

The Indian Health Service (IHS) is an agency within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services that is responsible for providing federal health services to American Indians and Alaska Natives. The IHS is the principal federal health care provider and health advocate for these populations, and its goal is to ensure that comprehensive, culturally acceptable personal and public health services are available and accessible to American Indians and Alaska Natives.

National Council of Chief Medical Officers, Indian Health Service

Chief Medical Officer Indian Health Service (IHS) 801 Thompson Avenue, Suite 440 Rockville, MD 20852

Aberdeen Area IHS Federal Building, 115 4th Avenue, S.E. Aberdeen, SD 57401

Alaska Area IHS 4141 Ambassador Drive Anchorage, AK 99508

Albuquerque Area IHS 5300 Homestead Road, N.E. Albuquerque, NM 87110

Bemidji Area IHS 522 Minnesota Avenue, N.W. Bemidji, MN 56601

Billings Area IHS PO Box 36600 Billings, MT 59107

California Area IHS 650 Capitol Mall, Suite 7-100 Sacramento, CA 95814

Nashville Area IHS 711 Stewarts Ferry Pike Nashville, TN 37214-2634 Navajo Area IHS PO Box 9020 Window Rock, AZ 86515

Oklahoma Area IHS Five Corporate Plaza 3625 N.W. 56th Street Oklahoma City, OK 73112

Phoenix Area IHS Two Renaissance Square 40 N. Central Avenue, Suite 600 Phoenix, AZ 85004-4424

Portland Area IHS 1220 S.W. Third Avenue Portland, OR 97204-2892

Tucson Area IHS 7900 South J Stock Road Tucson, AZ 85746-9352

Federal Government Agencies

Indian Health Service Headquarters The Reyes Building 801 Thompson Avenue, Suite 440 Rockville, MD 20852-1627

Phone: 301-443-1083, Fax: 301-443-4794

Web site: http://www.ihs.gov

The Indian Health Service (IHS) is an agency within the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services that is responsible for providing federal health services to American Indians and Alaska Natives. The IHS is the principal federal health care provider and health advocate for these populations, and its goal is to ensure that comprehensive, culturally acceptable personal and public health services are available and accessible to American Indians and Alaska Natives.

Office of the Associate Director for Minority Health Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 1600 Clifton Road, MS D-39, Atlanta, GA 30333

Phone: 404-639-7210

Web site: http://www.cdc.gov/od/admh

The mission of the Office of the Associate Director for Minority Health is to improve the health of African American, Asian American and Pacific Islander, Hispanic American, and Native American and Alaska Native citizens and, where appropriate, members of similar ethnic/racial subgroups both in and outside the United States, through policy development and program analysis at the CDC and the Agency for Toxic Substances and Disease Registry.

Office of Women's Health Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1600 Clifton Road, MS D-51, Atlanta, GA 30333 Phone: 404-639-7230, Fax: 404-639-7331

Web site: http://www.cdc.gov/od/owh

The Office of Women's Health is dedicated to in-depth research and dissemination of information and public policy regarding women's health.

Office of Minority Health Division of Information and Education Rockwall II Building, Suite 1085 5515 Security Lane, Rockville, MD 20852 Phone: 301-443-5224, Fax: 301-443-1426

Web site: http://www.omhrc.gov

The Office of Minority Health, which operates under the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, works to improve collection and analyses of data on the health of racial and ethnic minority populations, and it monitors efforts to achieve Healthy People 2010 goals for minority health.

The Office of Minority Health Resource Center Division of Information and Education Rockwall II Building, Suite 1000 5600 Fishers Lane, Rockville, MD 20857

Phone: 1-800-444-6472

Web site: http://www.omhrc.gov

The Office of Minority Health Resource Center was established to assist in the exchange of information and analyses of minority health issues. The center collects and distributes information on a wide variety of health topics and facilitates the exchange of information on minority health issues.

Office of Research on Women's Health National Institutes of Health Building 1, Room 201, Bethesda, MD 20892 Web site: http://www4.od.nih.gov/orwh/index.html

The goal of the Office of Research on Women's Health is to ensure that research conducted and supported by the National Institutes of Health addresses issues of women's health, and that there is appropriate inclusion of women in clinical research.

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute National Institutes of Health Building 31, Suite 4A10, MSC 2480 31 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 Web site: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/nhlbi/nhlbi.htm

The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute is a national program dedicated to research related to the causes, prevention, diagnosis, and treatment of heart, blood vessel, lung, and blood diseases, as well as sleep disorders.

National Center of Minority Health and Health Disparities Office of Research on Minority Health 6707 Democracy Blvd., Suite 800 Bethesda, MD 20892-5465

Phone: 301-402-1366, Fax: 301-480-4049

The Office of Research on Minority Health (ORMH) was founded in 1999 by the National Institutes of Health to help solve research questions that result from the disparity of health status among Americans. The ORMH's mission is to support and promote biomedical research aimed at improving the health status of minority Americans across the life span and programs aimed at expanding the participation of under-represented minorities in all aspects of biomedical and behavioral research.

Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality Center for Cost and Financing Studies 2101 East Jefferson Street, Suite 500 Rockville, MD 20852

Phone: 301-594-1406, Fax: 301-594-2166

Web site: http://www.ahrq.gov

The Agency for Healthcare Research and Quality (AHRQ) was established in 1989 as the Agency for Health Care Policy and Research. Reauthorizing legislation passed in November 1999 established AHRQ as the lead federal agency on quality research. AHRQ operates under the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and is the lead agency charged with supporting research designed to improve the quality of health care, reduce its cost, and broaden access to essential services. AHRQ's broad programs of research bring practical, science-based information to medical practitioners and to consumers and other health care purchasers.

State and Territorial Agencies

Cardiovascular Health Council of the Association of State and Territorial Chronic Disease Program Directors

Jack Hataway

Director, Chronic Disease Prevention Division **Alabama** Department of Public Health 201 Monroe Street, RSA Tower, Suite 964 Montgomery, AL 36104

Phone: 334-206-5610, Fax: 334-206-5609 E-mail: jhataway@adph.state.al.us

Jason Eberhart-Phillips Cardiovascular Health Program **Alaska** Division of Public Health PO Box 241623, Anchorage, AK 99524-1623 Phone: 907-269-8447, Fax: 907-562-7802 E-mail: Jason_eberhart-phillips@health.state.ak

Margaret Tate

Chief, Office of Nutrition and Chronic Disease

Prevention Services

Arizona Department of Health Services 150 North 18th Avenue, Suite 310, Phoenix, AZ 85007

Phone: 602-542-2829, Fax: 602-542-1890

E-mail: mtate@hs.state.az.us

Jan Bunch

Chronic Disease Service Unit **Arkansas** Department of Health 4815 West Markham Street, Slot 41 Little Rock, AR 72205

Phone: 501-661-2150, Fax: 501-661-2055 E-mail: jbunch@healthyarkansas.com Kathleen H. Acree

Chief, Chronic Disease Control Branch
California Department of Health Services

PO Box 942732, MS-7208 Sacramento, CA 94234-7320

Phone: 916-552-9900, Fax: 916-552-9729

E-mail: kacree@dhs.ca.gov

Normie Morin-Voilleque

Director, Chronic Disease Section-A5

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment

4300 Cherry Creek Drive South

Denver, CO 80246-1530

Phone: 303-692-2505, Fax: 303-691-7721

E-mail: normie.morin@state.co.us

Rosa M. Biaggi

Director, AIDS and Chronic Disease Division

Connecticut Department of Public Health

410 Capitol Avenue, MS-11 APV

PO Box 340308, Hartford, CT 06134

Phone: 860-509-7801, Fax: 860-509-7855 E-mail: rosa.biaggi@po.state.ct.us

Gregory Roane

Chronic Disease Director

Delaware Division of Public Health

PO Box 637, Dover, DE 19904-0637

Phone: 302-741-2900, Fax: 302-741-2910

E-mail: gregory.roane@state.de.us

Karen Barry

Chief, Bureau Chronic Disease

District of Columbia Department of Health

825 North Capitol Street, N.E. #3147

Washington, D.C. 20002

Phone: 202-442-9366, Fax: 202-442-4825

E-mail: kbarry@dehealth.com

Jennie A. Hefelfinger

Florida Department of Health

Bureau of Chronic Disease

4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin #A18

Tallahassee, FL 32399-1744

Phone: 850-245-4330, Fax: 850-414-6625

E-mail: jennie_a_hefelfinger@doh.state.fl.us

Carol Steiner

Director, Cancer Control Section

Georgia Department of Human Resources

2 Peachtree Street, Room 16-293, Atlanta, GA 30303

Phone: 404-657-6606, Fax: 404-657-6316

E-mail: cbsteiner@dhr.state.ga.us

Barbara Yamashita

Chief, Community Health

Hawaii State Department of Health

1250 Punchbowl Street, Room 218

Honolulu, HI 96801-3378

Phone: 808-586-4126, Fax: 808-586-4791

E-mail: bayamash@mail.health.state.hi.us

Elke Shaw-Tulloch

Chief, Bureau of Community and Environmental Health

Idaho Division of Health

450 W. State Street, 6th Floor, Boise, ID 83720-0036

Phone: 208-334-5950, Fax: 208-334-6573

E-mail: shawe@idhw.state.id.us

Chandana Nandi

Chief, Office of Health Promotion

Illinois Department of Public Health

535 West Jefferson Street, Springfield, IL 62761

Phone: 217-782-3300, Fax: 217-782-1235

E-mail: cnandi@idph.state.il.us

Sue Percifield Director

Indiana State Department of Health 2 North Meridian Street, Suite 6-A Indianapolis, IN 46204-3003

Phone: 317-233-7816, Fax: 317-233-7805

E-mail: spercifi@isdh.state.in.us

Jill Myers-Geadelmann

Chief, Cancer Prevention and Control Bureau

Iowa Department of Public Health

321 E. 12th Street, Des Moines, IA 50319-0075

Phone: 515-242-6067, Fax: 515-281-4535

E-mail: jgeadelm@idph.state.ia.us

Paula F. Marmet

Director

Kansas Department of Health and Environment 1000 S.W. Jackson, Suite 230, Topeka, KS 66612-1274

Phone: 785-296-8916, Fax: 785-296-8059 E-mail: pmarmet@kdhe.state.ks.us

Curtis Rowe

Manager, Chronic Disease Prevention and Control

Kentucky Department for Public Health

275 East Main Street, HSIC-B, Frankfort, KY 40621 Phone: 502-564-7996 (ext. 1), Fax: 502-564-4667

E-mail: curtis.rowe@mail.state.ky.us

Vicki Scanlon-Leishman

Program Manager, Community Health Promotion and

Chronic Disease

Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals PO Box 60630, New Orleans, LA 70160 Phone: 504-599-1087, Fax: 504-568-7005

E-mail: vsleishm@dhh.state.la.us

Barbara A. Leonard

Director, Division of Community Health Promotion **Maine** Department of Health and Human Services

11 State House Station

286 Water Street, 4th Floor, Augusta, ME 04333

Phone: 207-287-5387, Fax: 207-287-4631 E-mail: barbara.a.leonard@maine.gov

Patricia Boehm

Chief, Chronic Disease Prevention Teams

Maryland Department of Health and Mental Hygiene 300 West Preston Street, Suite 200, Baltimore, MD 21202

Phone: 410-767-5590, Fax: 410-333-7411 E-mail: boehmp@dhmh.state.md.us

Cynthia Boddie-Willis

Director, Division of Community Health Promotion

Massachusetts Department of Public Health

250 Washington Street, 4th Floor, Boston, MA 02108

Phone: 617-624-5467, Fax: 617-624-5075 E-mail: cynthia.boddie-willis@state.ma.us

Carol Callaghan

Director, Division of Chronic Disease and Injury Control

Michigan Department of Community Health

3423 N. Martin Luther King Jr. Blvd. PO Box 30195, Lansing, MI 48909

Phone: 517-335-8379, Fax: 517-335-9397

E-mail: callaghanc@michigan.gov

Mary Manning

Chief, Center for Health Promotion **Minnesota** Department of Health

PO Box 9441, Minneapolis, MN 55414 Phone: 651-676-5201, Fax: 651-676-5057

E-mail: mary.manning@state.mn.us

Victor Sutton

Director, Health Promotion

Mississippi State Department of Health PO Box 1700, 570 East Woodrow Wilson

Jackson, MS 39215-1700

Phone: 601-576-7725, Fax: 601-576-7497

E-mail: vsutton@msdh.state.ms.us

Paula Nickelson

Director, Division of Community Health

Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services

920 Wildwood, PO Box 570 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0570

Phone: 573-522-6252, Fax: 573-552-5348

E-mail: nickep@dhss.mo.gov

Todd Harwell

Chief, Chronic Disease and Health Promotion Bureau

Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services

Cogswell Bldg., 1400 Broadway, Room C-317

Helena, MT 59620

Phone: 406-444-1437, Fax: 406-444-7465

E-mail: tharwell@state.mt.us

Roméo Guerra

Deputy Director for Health Services

Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services System

301 Centennial Mall South

PO Box 95044, Lincoln, NE 68509-5044 Phone: 402-471-6038, Fax: 402-471-9449 E-mail: romeo.guerro@hhss.state.ne.us

Richard Whitley

Chief

Nevada State Health Division

505 East King Street, Room 103, Carson City, NV 89701

Phone: 775-684-5958, Fax: 775-684-5998 E-mail: rwhitley@nvhd.state.nv.us Margaret Murphy Acting Director

New Hampshire Department of Health and Human Services

6 Haven Drive, Suite 2 East

Concord, NH 03301

Phone: 603-271-4524, Fax: 603-271-0539 E-mail: mmurphy@dhhs.state.nh.us

Doreleena Sammons-Posey

Program Manager, Cancer and Reproductive Health

New Jersey Department of Health and Senior Services

50 East State Street, 6th Floor

PO Box 364, Trenton, NJ 08625-0364

Phone: 609-292-8540, Fax: 609-292-9599

E-mail: Doreleena.Sammons-Posey@doh.state.nj.us

David Vigil

Chief, Chronic Disease Prevention and Control

New Mexico Department of Health

625 Silver, S.W. #200, Albuquerque, NM 87102

Phone: 505-841-5836, Fax: 505-841-5685

E-mail: davidv@doh.state.nm.us

Mark S. Baptiste

Director, Division of Chronic Disease Prevention

and Adult Health

New York State Department of Health

Corning Tower Building, Empire State Plaza, Room 515

Albany, NY 12237-0675

Phone: 518-474-0512, Fax: 518-473-2853

E-mail: msb02@health.state.ny.us

Marcus Plescia

Section Chief, Chronic Disease and Injury

North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

1915 Mail Service Center, Raleigh, NC 27699-1915

Phone: 919-715-0215, Fax: 919-715-3153 E-mail: Marcus.Plescia@ncmail.net Sherri Paxon

Director, Division of Chronic Disease North Dakota Department of Health

600 E. Boulevard Avenue, Bismarck, ND 58505-0200

Phone: 701-328-2698, Fax: 701-328-2036

E-mail: spaxon@state.nd.us

Frank S. Bright

Chief, Bureau of Health Promotion and Risk Reduction

Ohio Department of Health

246 North High Street, PO Box 118

Columbus, OH 43266-0118

Phone: 614-466-2144, Fax: 614-564-2409

E-mail: fbright@gw.odh.state.oh.us

Adeline M. Yerkes

Chief, Chronic Disease Service

Oklahoma State Department of Health

1000 North East 10th Street

Oklahoma City, OK 73117-1299

Phone: 405-271-4072 (ext. 57123), Fax: 405-271-3615

E-mail: adeliney@health.state.ok.us

Jane M. Moore

Manager, Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention

Oregon Department of Health Services

800 N.E. Oregon Street, Suite 730

Portland, OR 97232

Phone: 503-731-4273, Fax: 503-731-4082

E-mail: jane.m.moore@state.or.us

Leslie Best

Director, Bureau of Chronic Disease and Injury Program

Pennsylvania Department of Health

Health and Welfare Bldg., Room 1000, Harrisburg, PA 17120

Phone: 717-787-6214, Fax: 717-783-5498

E-mail: Ibest@state.pa.us

Ann Thacher

Chief, Office of Health Promotion and

Chronic Disease Prevention

Rhode Island Department of Health

3 Capitol Hill, Room 409

Providence, RI 02908-5097

Phone: 401-222-7637, Fax: 401-222-4415

E-mail: AnnT@doh.state.ri.us

Michael D. Byrd

Director

South Carolina Department of Health and Environmental Control

2600 Bull Street, Columbia, SC 29201 Phone: 803-545-4481, Fax: 803-545-4921

E-mail byrdmd@dhec.sc.gov

Norma Schmidt

Team Leader, Chronic Disease Prevention

South Dakota Department of Health

615 East Fourth Street, Pierre, SD 57501-1700

Phone: 605-773-5728, Fax: 605-773-5509

E-mail: norma.schmidt@state.sd.us

Donna Henry

Acting Director, Health Promotion Division

Tennessee Department of Health

Cordell Hull Building, 6th Floor

425 5th Avenue North, Nashville, TN 37247-7366

Phone: 615-253-5800, Fax: 615-253-8478

E-mail: donna.henry@state.tn.us

Philip Huang

Director

Texas Department of Health

1100 W. 49th Street, Austin, TX 78756-7446 Phone: 512-458-7200, Fax: 512-458-7618

E-mail: Philip.Huang@tdh.state.tx.us

Ladene Larsen

Director, Bureau of Health Promotion

Utah Department of Health

288 North 1460 West, PO Box 142107

Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2107

Phone: 801-538-62201, Fax: 801-538-9495

E-mail: ladenelarsen@utah.gov

Karen Garbarino

Chronic Disease Director

Vermont Department of Health

PO Box 70, Burlington, VT 05402

Phone: 802-951-4004, Fax: 802-651-1634

E-mail: kgarbar@vdh.state.vt.us

Ramona D. Schaeffer

Director, Chronic Disease Prevention and Control

Virginia Department of Health

109 Governor Street, 10th Floor, Richmond, VA 23219

Phone: 804-864-7877, Fax: 804-864-7880 E-mail: Ramona.Schaeffer@vdh.virginia.gov

Mary Frost

Director, Chronic Disease Prevention and Risk

Washington State Department of Health

PO Box 47855, Olympia, WA 98504-7855

Phone: 360-236-3628, Fax: 360-236-3646

E-mail: mary.frost@doh.wa.gov

Tom Sims

Director, Division of Health Promotion and Chronic Disease

West Virginia Bureau for Public Health

350 Capitol Street, Room 319

Charleston, WV 25301-3715

Phone: 304-558-0644, Fax: 304-558-1553

E-mail: tomsims@wvdhhr.org

Millie Jones

Director, Bureau of Community Health and Prevention

Wisconsin Division of Public Health

1 West Wilson Street, Room 230

PO Box 2659, Madison, WI 53701-2659

Phone: 608-266-2684, Fax: 608-267-3824

E-mail: jonesmj@dhss.state.wi.us

Linda L. Chasson

Chief, Chronic Disease Section

Wyoming Department of Health

Qwest Building, Suite 259A

6101 N. Yellowstone Road

Cheyenne, WY 82002

Phone: 307-777-3579, Fax: 307-777-8604

E-mail: lchass@state.wy.us

Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention **Program Managers**

Janice Cook

Alabama Department of Public Health

201 Monroe Street, RSA Tower, Suite 962

Montgomery, AL 36104

Phone: 334-206-5610, Fax: 334-206-5609

E-mail: jcook@adph.state.al.us

Carol White

Section of Community Health and EMS

Alaska Department of Health and Social Services

PO Box 110616, Juneau, AK 99811-0616

Phone: 907-465-8670, Fax 907-465-2770

E-mail: carol white@health.state.ak.us

Linda Faulkner

Arkansas Department of Health 4815 W. Markham Street, Slot 11

Little Rock, AR 72205-3867

Phone: 501-661-2956, Fax: 501-661-2070

E-mail: lfaulkner@healthyarkansas.com

Gloria Latimer

CVH Program Manager

Colorado Department of Public Health and Environment

4300 Cherry Creek Drive S., A-5

Denver, CO 80246-1530

Phone: 303-692-2562, Fax: 303-691-7721

E-mail: gloria.latimer@state.co.us

Chris Andresen

Connecticut Department of Public Health

410 Capitol Avenue, MS-11 HLS

PO Box 340308, Hartford, CT 06134-0308 Phone: 860-509-7828, Fax: 860-509-7855 E-mail: chris.andresen@po.state.ct.us

Vance Farrow

District of Columbia Department of Health

825 North Capital Street N.E.

Washington, D.C. 20002 Phone: 202-442-5891

E-mail: vance.farrow@dc.gov

Linda Greis

Bureau of Chronic Disease Prevention

Florida Department of Health

4052 Bald Cypress Way, Bin #A18

Tallahassee, FL 32399-1744

Phone: 850-245-4444 (ext. 3800), Fax: 850-414-6625

E-mail: Linda_Greis@doh.state.fl.us

Carol B. Steiner

Cardiovascular Health Initiative Health Promotion

Georgia Department of Human Resources

2 Peachtree Street N.W., 29th Floor

Atlanta, GA 30303-3142

Phone: 404-657-6606, Fax: 404-657-6631

E-mail: cbsteiner@dhr.state.ga.us

Julie Harvill

Illinois Department of Public Health

535 West Jefferson Street, 2nd Floor

Springfield, IL 62761

Phone: 217-782-3300, Fax: 217-782-1235

E-mail: jharvill@idph.state.il.us

Misty Jimerson

Kansas Department of Health and Environment

1000 S.W. Jackson, Suite 230

Topeka, Kansas 66612-1274

Phone: 785-296-3921, Fax: 785-296-8059

E-mail: mjimerson@kdhe.state.ks.us

Brian Boisseau

Division of Adult and Child Health

Kentucky Department of Public Health

275 East Main Street, HS 1C-B

Frankfort, KY 40621

Phone: 502-564-7996 (ext. 3823), Fax: 502-564-4667

E-mail: Brian.Boisseau@ky.gov

Tara Doskey

Louisiana Department of Health and Hospitals

325 Loyola Avenue, Room 212

New Orleans, LA 70112

Phone: 504-568-7562, Fax: 504 568-7005

E-mail: tdoskey@dhh.la.gov

Debra A. Wigand

Maine Department of Human Services

11 State House Station, Key Bank Plaza, 4th Floor

Augusta, ME 04333-0011

Phone: 207-287-4624, Fax: 207-287-4631 E-mail: debra.a.wigand@maine.gov Kathy Foell

Massachusetts Department of Public Health

250 Washington Street, 4th Floor

Boston, MA 02108

Phone: 617-624-5469, Fax: 617-624-5075

E-mail: kathy.foell@state.ma.us

Khatidja Dawood

Center for Health Promotion

Minnesota Department of Health

PO Box 64882, St. Paul, MN 55101

Phone: 651-281-9838, Fax: 651-215-8959

E-mail: khatidja.dawood@health.state.mn.us

Tennille Howard

Mississippi State Department of Health PO Box 1700, 570 East Woodrow Wilson

Jackson, MS 39215-1700

Phone: 601-576-7783, Fax: 601-576-7444

E-mail: thoward@msdh.state.ms.us

Judy Alexiou

Section for Chronic Disease Prevention

and Health Promotion

Missouri Department of Health and Senior Services

920 Wildwood Drive, PO Box 570 Jefferson City, MO 65102-0570

Phone: 573-522-2868, Fax: 573-522-2898

E-mail: alexij@dhss.mo.gov

Crystelle Fogle

Cardiovascular Health Program

Montana Department of Public Health and Human Services

PO Box 202951, Helena, MT 59620-2951 Phone: 406-947-2344, Fax: 406-444-7465

E-mail: cfogle@mt.gov

Jamie Hahn

Nebraska Department of Health and Human Services System

301 Centennial Mall South

PO Box 95044, Lincoln, NE 68509-5044

Phone: 402-471-3493, Fax: 402-471-6446

E-mail: jamie.hahn@hhss.ne.gov

Deborah A. Spicer

Healthy Heart Program

New York State Department of Health

Riverview Center, 3rd Floor West

150 Broadway, Albany NY 12204

Phone: 518-474-6683, Fax: 518-474-3356

E-mail: das09@health.state.ny.us

Elizabeth (Libby) Puckett

Division of Public Health

North Carolina Department of Health and Human Services

1915 Mail Service Center

Raleigh, NC 27699-1915

Phone: 919-715-3342, Fax: 919-715-0433

E-mail: Libby.Puckett@ncmail.net

Susan M. Mormann

North Dakota Department of Health

600 E. Boulevard Avenue, Dept. 301

Bismarck, ND 58505-0200

Phone: 701-328-2305, Fax: 701-328-2036

E-mail: smormann@state.nd.us

Barbara Pryor

Ohio Department of Health

246 North High Street, PO Box 118

Columbus, OH 43266-0118

Phone: 614-644-6963, Fax: 614-644-7740

E-mail: bpryor@odh.ohio.gov

Adeline M. Yerkes

Oklahoma State Department of Health

1000 North East 10th Street Oklahoma City, OK 73117-1299

Phone: 405-271-4072 (ext. 57123), Fax: 405-271-5181

E-mail: adeliney@health.state.ok.us

Nancy G. Clarke

Oregon Department of Health Services

800 N.E. Oregon Street, Suite 730

Portland, OR 97232-2162

Phone: 503-731-4082, Fax: 503-731-4082

E-mail: nancy.g.clarke@state.or.us

Dory Masters

Division of Cardiovascular Health

South Carolina Department of Health

and Environmental Control

1777 St. Julian Place, Heritage Building

Columbia, SC 29201

Phone: 803-545-4498, Fax: 803-545-4492

E-mail: masterdm@dhec.sc.gov

Frances A. Walls

Community Services Section

Tennessee Department of Health

Cordell Hull Building, 6th Floor

425 5th Avenue North, Nashville, TN 37247-5210

Phone: 615-741-0390, Fax: 615-532-8478

E-mail: frances.walls@state.tn.us

Jennifer Smith

Cardiovascular Health and Wellness Program

Texas Department of Health

1100 W. 49th Street, T-406, Austin, TX 78756

Phone: 512-458-7111 (ext. 2209), Fax: 512-458-7618

E-mail: jennifer.smith@dshs.state.tx.us

Joan Ware

Bureau of Health Promotion

Utah Department of Health

PO Box 142107, Salt Lake City, UT 84114-2107

Phone: 801-538-6228, Fax: 801-538-9495

E-mail: JWARE@utah.gov

Laura Wimmer

Division of Chronic Disease Prevention and Control

Virginia Department of Health

109 Governor Street, 10th Floor, Room 132

Richmond, VA 23219

Phone: 804-864-7884, Fax: 804-864-7880

E-mail: laura.wimmer@vdh.virginia.gov

Miriam Patanian

Coordinator, Heart Disease and Stroke Prevention Program

Washington State Department of Health

7211 Cleanwater Lane, Bldg. 15

PO Box 47836, Olympia, WA 98504-7836

Phone: 360-236-3792, Fax: 360-236-3708

E-mail: Miriam.patanian@doh.wa.gov

Amy Carte

West Virginia Bureau for Public Health

350 Capitol Street, Room 206

Charleston, WV 25301-3715

Phone: 304-558-0644, Fax: 304-558-1553

E-mail: amycarte@wvdhhr.org

Mary Jo Brink

Chronic Disease Prevention Unit

Wisconsin Division of Public Health

1 West Wilson Street, Room 218

Madison, WI 53701-2659

Phone: 608-266-3702, Fax: 608-266-8925

E-mail: brinkmj@dhfs.state.wi.us

Minority Health Organization

Minority Health Professions Foundation 3 Executive Park Drive NE, Suite 100, Atlanta, GA 30329

Phone: 404-634-1993, Fax: 404-634-1903 Web site: http://www.minorityhealth.org

The Minority Health Professions Foundation is a nonprofit educational, scientific, and charitable organization that provides support for professional education, research, and community services that promote optimum health among poor and minority people.

Heart Disease and Stroke Organizations

American Heart Association, National Center 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Web site: http://www.americanheart.org

The American Heart Association is a not-for-profit, voluntary health organization funded by private contributions. Its mission is to reduce disability and death from cardiovascular diseases and stroke.

American Stroke Association, National Center 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Web site: http://www.americanheart.org

The American Stroke Association is a division of the American Heart Association, which is a not-for-profit, voluntary health organization funded by private contributions. Its mission is to reduce disability and death from cardiovascular diseases and stroke.

Brain Attack Coalition National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke Building 31, Room 8A-16, 31 Center Drive, MSC 2540, Bethesda, MD 20892

Phone: 301-496-5751, Fax: 301-496-0296 Web site: http://www.stroke-site.org

The Brain Attack Coalition is a group of professional, voluntary, and governmental entities dedicated to reducing the occurrence of and the disabilities and death associated with stroke. The goal of the coalition is to strengthen and promote the relationships among its

member organizations in order to help people who have had a stroke or are at risk for a stroke.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion Division of Adult and Community Health 4770 Buford Highway NE, MS K-47 Atlanta, GA 30341-3717

Phone: 770-488-2424, Fax: 770-488-2564 Web site: http://www.cdc.gov/nccdphp/cvd

CDC has established cardiovascular health programs in 32 states and the District of Columbia. These programs are committed to reducing the burden of heart disease and stroke by promoting heart-healthy and stroke-free working and living environments. In addition, the Cardiovascular Health Branch at CDC performs extensive monitoring of recent trends in cardiovascular disease and conducts applied research to prevent cardiovascular disease.

Health Care Financing Administration Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services 7500 Security Blvd., Baltimore, MD 21244-1850

Phone: 410-786-3000

Web site: http://www.cms.hhs.gov

The mission of the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services is to serve Medicare and Medicaid beneficiaries. The goal is to launch and enhance the Medicare education campaign to help beneficiaries and their caregivers become active and informed participants in their health care decisions.

InterAmerican Heart Foundation American Heart Association, National Center 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Phone: 214-706-1218, Fax: 214-373-0268 or 972-562-3807

Web site: http://www.americanheart.org

The goals of the InterAmerican Heart Foundation are to promote an environment throughout North, Central, and South America and the Caribbean conducive to the prevention of heart diseases and stroke;

to facilitate the development and growth of heart foundations; and to foster partnerships between health professionals and other sectors of society, including business and government, for the accomplishment of its mission.

National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke NIH Neurological Institute PO Box 5801, Bethesda, MD 20824

Phone: 800-352-9424

Web site: http://www.ninds.nih.gov

The goal of the National Institute of Neurological Disorders and Stroke is to reduce the burden of neurological disease—a burden borne by every age group, by every segment of society, and by people all over the world.

National Stroke Association 9707 E. Easter Lane, Englewood, CO 80112

Phone: 303-649-9299 or 1-800-STROKES (787-6537)

Fax: 303-649-1328

Web site: http://www.stroke.org

The mission of the National Stroke Association is to reduce the incidence and impact of stroke, to save lives, and to improve the quality of care among stroke survivors.

Patient Resources

National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute National Institutes of Health Building 31, 31 Center Drive, Bethesda, MD 20892 Web site: http://www.nhlbi.nih.gov/index.htm

The National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute can supply a wealth of information regarding heart, blood, and lung diseases for patients. Resources are available on the Internet as well as via telephone and direct mail.

American Heart Association, National Center 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Web site: http://www.americanheart.org

The American Heart Association offers resources for heart disease patients regarding health, fitness, and dietary guidelines. Information can be obtained through the Internet, by telephone, or by direct mail.

American Stroke Association, National Center 7272 Greenville Avenue, Dallas, TX 75231 Web site: http://www.strokeassociation.org

The American Stroke Association is a division of the American Heart Association, which offers resources for heart disease patients regarding health, fitness, and dietary guidelines. Information may be obtained via the Internet, telephone, or direct mail.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) 1600 Clifton Road, Atlanta, GA 30333

Phone: 404-639-7000

Web site: http://www.cdc.gov

CDC is a government agency dedicated to the promotion of health and quality of life by preventing and controlling disease, injury, and disability. The CDC Web site provides information about a variety of health topics, including women's, cardiovascular, and minority health.

Michele L. Casper is an epidemiologist in the Cardiovascular Health Branch of the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). She received her PhD in epidemiology from the University of North Carolina School of Public Health. Her research focuses primarily on the geographic, racial, and ethnic disparities in cardiovascular disease.

Clark H. Denny is an epidemiologist in the Cardiovascular Health Branch of CDC. He received his PhD in sociology from Emory University, specializing in demography. He has 8 years of experience studying the health behaviors of American Indians and Alaska Natives.

Jonathan N. Coolidge was an Association of the Schools of Public Health fellow working in the Cardiovascular Health Branch of CDC in 2004. He is now attending Albany Medical College. He received his MPH from Emory University, concentrating in epidemiology, and his BA in biology from the College of the Holy Cross.

G. Ishmael Williams, Jr., is a Geographic Information Systems (GIS) analyst in the Cardiovascular Health Branch of CDC. He received his MA in anthropology from the University of Arkansas and has more than 15 years of experience in GIS research, spanning archeology, environmental management, and public health.

Amanda Crowell is a writer-editor in the National Center for Chronic Disease Prevention and Health Promotion of CDC. She attended the University of Alabama, majoring in English.

James M. Galloway, MD, FACP, FACC, is the senior cardiologist for the Indian Health Service (IHS) and is based at the University of Arizona. His primary focus is the optimal prevention, detection, and control of cardiovascular disease among American Indians and Alaska Natives.

Nathaniel Cobb is the principal chronic disease epidemiologist for IHS. He received his MD from Harvard Medical School. He did his residency at the University of New Mexico and then a fellowship in epidemiology at CDC.



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND HUMAN SERVICES

CENTERS FOR DISEASE CONTROL AND PREVENTION
INDIAN HEALTH SERVICE